

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address and present position with**  
2 **PacifiCorp (“the Company”).**

3 A. My name is Judith M. Ridenour. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah St.,  
4 Suite 2000, Portland, Oregon 97232. My present position is Consultant, Pricing  
5 & Cost of Service, in the Regulation Department.

6 **Qualifications**

7 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background.**

8 A. I hold a Bachelor of Arts degree in Mathematics from Reed College. I joined the  
9 Company in the Regulation Department in October 2000. I assumed my present  
10 responsibilities in May 2001. In my present position, I am responsible for the  
11 preparation of rate design used in retail price filings and related analyses. Since  
12 2001, with levels of increasing responsibility, I have analyzed and implemented  
13 rate design proposals throughout the Company’s six state service territory,  
14 including those contained in the Company’s last Oregon General Rate Case  
15 (“GRC”), Docket UE 217 (“UE 217”) and Transition Adjustment Mechanism  
16 (“TAM”), Docket UE 216 (“UE 216”). I have testified on behalf of the Company  
17 in regulatory proceedings in Oregon and California.

18 **Purpose of Testimony**

19 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

20 A. I explain the Company’s TAM tariff and rate design, including the creation of a  
21 new TAM adjustment schedule for Other Revenues, present the Company’s  
22 proposed tariffs, and provide a summary of the impact of the proposed rate  
23 change on customers’ bills.

1 **TAM Design and Proposed Tariffs**

2 **Q. Please describe the Company's tariff rate schedule which collects net power**  
3 **costs ("NPC").**

4 A. The Company collects NPC through Schedule 201, Net Power Costs, Cost-Based  
5 Supply Service. Collecting NPC through a separate rate schedule allows NPC to  
6 be more easily and accurately updated through TAM filings.

7 **Q. What is the rate design test period for this TAM?**

8 A. In accordance with the TAM Guidelines adopted by Order No. 09-274, the rate  
9 design test year for this stand-alone TAM is the forecast test year during which  
10 the proposed Schedule 201 rates will be effective: the forecast 12 months ending  
11 December 2012.

12 **Q. Have you prepared an exhibit showing the present and proposed rate spread**  
13 **and rates for Schedule 201?**

14 A. Yes. Exhibit PPL/301 shows present and proposed Schedule 201 rates and  
15 revenues by customer class. Present and proposed Schedule 201 revenues reflect  
16 the projected test year sales forecasts.

17 **Q. How has the proposed NPC been allocated to the rate schedule classes?**

18 A. Consistent with the TAM Guidelines for a stand-alone TAM, the proposed NPC  
19 have been allocated to the rate schedule classes proportionately based on each rate  
20 schedule class' proportion of present Schedule 201 revenues.

21 **Q. Have the proposed Schedule 201 rates been designed consistent with the**  
22 **TAM Guidelines?**

23 A. Yes. The TAM Guidelines require that the proposed Schedule 201 rate design

1 reflect the method prescribed by the Commission in the most recent general rate  
2 case or other Commission proceeding regarding rate spread and rate design. The  
3 rates in the Company's proposed Schedule 201 utilize the same rate blocks and  
4 relationships between rate blocks as the existing Schedule 200 and 201 rates and  
5 thereby reflect the method prescribed in the Company's most recent general rate  
6 case, UE 217, and the most recent TAM, UE 216.

7 **Q. How does the Company propose to reflect in rates the adjustment for Other**  
8 **Revenues required in this stand-alone TAM proceeding?**

9 A. The Company proposes to create a new adjustment rate schedule to implement the  
10 required adjustment to Other Revenues. Keeping the rates for this adjustment  
11 separate from Schedule 201 will ensure (1) that the removal of cost-based rates in  
12 the calculation of the transition adjustments can be completed accurately, (2) that  
13 NPC in rates can be easily identified and updated in future TAM proceedings, and  
14 (3) that this adjustment will apply to all consumers, including direct access  
15 consumers, consistent with the treatment of Other Revenues in the general rate  
16 case. The proposed rate spread and rate design of Schedule 205, TAM  
17 Adjustment for Other Revenues, parallels the rate spread and rate design of  
18 Schedule 201.

19 **Q. Have you prepared an exhibit showing the calculation of the proposed rates**  
20 **for Schedule 205, TAM Adjustment for Other Revenues?**

21 A. Yes. Exhibit PPL/302 shows the calculation of the proposed rates for Schedule  
22 205, TAM Adjustment for Other Revenues.

1 **Q. Please describe Exhibit PPL/303.**

2 A. Exhibit PPL/303 contains the revised tariff Schedule 201, Net Power Costs, Cost-  
3 Based Supply Service and the new proposed tariff Schedule 205, TAM  
4 Adjustment for Other Revenues.

5 **Q. Is the Company proposing changes to its one-year or three-year option**  
6 **Transition Adjustment tariffs (Schedule 294 and 295) at this time?**

7 A. No. The Transition Adjustment will be established in November, just prior to the  
8 open enrollment window. The Company will file changes to Schedule 294 and  
9 295, Transition Adjustment, once the final TAM rates have been posted and are  
10 known.

#### 11 **Comparison of Present and Proposed Customer Rates**

12 **Q. What are the overall effects of the changes proposed in this filing?**

13 A. The overall proposed increase to rates is 5.2 percent on a net basis. Page one of  
14 Exhibit PPL/304 shows the estimated effect of the Company's proposed prices by  
15 Delivery Service schedule both exclusive (base) and inclusive (net) of applicable  
16 adjustment schedules. The net rates in Columns 8 and 11 exclude effects of the  
17 Low Income Bill Payment Assistance Charge (Schedule 91), the Adjustment  
18 Associated with the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation  
19 Act (Schedule 98), the Klamath Dam Removal Surcharges (Schedule 199), the  
20 Public Purpose Charge (Schedule 290), and the Energy Conservation Charge  
21 (Schedule 297).

1 **Q. Have you prepared an exhibit which shows the impact on customer bills as a**  
2 **result of the proposed changes to Schedule 201 and new Schedule 205?**

3 A. Yes. Exhibit PPL/304 contains monthly billing comparisons for customers at  
4 different usage levels served on each of the major Delivery Service schedules.  
5 Each bill impact is shown in both dollars and percentages. These bill  
6 comparisons include the effects of all adjustment schedules including the Low  
7 Income Bill Payment Assistance Charge (Schedule 91), the Adjustment  
8 Associated with the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation  
9 Act (Schedule 98), the Klamath Dam Removal Surcharges (Schedule 199), the  
10 Public Purpose Charge (Schedule 290), and the Energy Conservation Charge  
11 (Schedule 297).

12 **Q. What is the estimated monthly impact to an average residential customer?**

13 A. The estimated monthly impact to the average residential customer using 950  
14 kilowatt-hours per month is \$4.13.

15 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

16 A. Yes.