

Docket No. UE-227
Exhibit PPL/305
Witness: Judith M. Ridenour

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF OREGON**

PACIFICORP

Surrebuttal Testimony of Judith M. Ridenour

August 2011

1 **Q. Are you the same Judith M. Ridenour who filed direct testimony and**
2 **rebuttal testimony in this proceeding on behalf of PacifiCorp (the**
3 **Company)?**

4 A. Yes.

5 **Purpose of Testimony**

6 **Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?**

7 A. I present updated exhibits showing the proposed rates and the impact of the
8 proposed rate change on customers' bills based on the Company's surrebuttal
9 position.

10 **Updated Exhibits and Impacts**

11 **Q. What is the rate increase resulting from the Company's surrebuttal position?**

12 A. The rate increase based on this surrebuttal filing is \$58.7 million. This is a
13 reduction of approximately \$4.8 million from the Company's rebuttal filing and
14 reflects the updated 2012 load forecast presented by Company witness Gregory N.
15 Duvall in rebuttal and adopted by Staff in its rebuttal (*See* Staff/300,
16 Durrenberger/3). It also reflects the adjustment for liquidated damages as
17 discussed by Mr. Duvall in his surrebuttal testimony.

18 **Q. Please describe the exhibits accompanying your testimony.**

19 A. Exhibit PPL/306 shows the development of rates for Schedule 201 and Schedule
20 205 based on the updated forecast load for the rate design test year. This exhibit
21 updates Exhibit PPL/301 and Exhibit PPL/302 from my direct testimony.

22 Exhibit PPL/307 shows the estimated effect of the proposed TAM price
23 change based on the updated forecast load for the rate design test year. This

1 exhibit updates Exhibit PPL/304 from my direct testimony.

2 **Q. Are you presenting updated tariffs in this surrebuttal filing?**

3 A. No. Tariffs with the final ordered rates will be provided in the compliance filing
4 at the conclusion of this docket. Other than updated rates, the Company proposes
5 no changes to the tariffs proposed in my direct testimony. Updated rates are
6 shown in Exhibit PPL/306.

7 **Q. What are the effects of the rates proposed in this surrebuttal filing?**

8 A. The overall proposed rate increase is 5.1 percent on a net basis. The estimated
9 monthly impact to the average residential customer using 950 kilowatt-hours per
10 month is \$4.00.

11 **Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?**

12 A. Yes.