

Docket No. UE 352
Exhibit PAC/400
Witness: Steven R. McDougal

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

PACIFICORP

Direct Testimony of Steven R. McDougal

December 2018

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEVEN R. MCDUGAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUALIFICATIONS1
PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY.....1
SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY.....2
PROPOSED RATEMAKING2
REVENUE REQUIREMENT4
REQUEST FOR RECOVERY OF REPOWERING COSTS8

ATTACHED EXHIBITS

- Exhibit PAC/401—Annual RAC Repowering Revenue Requirement
- Exhibit PAC/402—Monthly RAC Repowering Revenue Requirement – October 2019
- Exhibit PAC/403—Monthly RAC Repowering Revenue Requirement – December 2019
- Exhibit PAC/404—Capital Structure, Property Tax, Revenue Requirement Gross-up

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp.**

2 A. My name is Steven R. McDougal, and my business address is 1407 W. North Temple,
3 Suite 330, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. My present position is Director of Revenue
4 Requirements.

5 **QUALIFICATIONS**

6 **Q. Please describe your education and professional background.**

7 A. I received a Master of Accountancy from Brigham Young University with an
8 emphasis in Management Advisory Services and a Bachelor of Science degree in
9 Accounting from Brigham Young University. In addition to my formal education, I
10 have also attended various educational, professional, and electric industry-related
11 seminars. I have been employed with PacifiCorp and its predecessor, Utah Power
12 and Light Company, since 1983. My experience includes various positions with
13 regulation, finance, resource planning, and internal audit.

14 **Q. What are your current responsibilities with PacifiCorp?**

15 A. My primary responsibilities include overseeing the calculation and reporting of the
16 company's regulated earnings and revenue requirement, assuring that the
17 interjurisdictional cost allocation methodology is correctly applied, and explaining
18 those calculations to regulators in the jurisdictions in which the company operates.

19 **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

20 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

21 A. I present and explain the calculation of the repowered wind projects' non-transition
22 adjustment mechanism related revenue requirement to be included in the Renewable
23 Adjustment Clause (RAC). Specifically, my testimony:

- 1 • Describes the proposed ratemaking for the repowered wind projects;
- 2 •
- 3 • Calculates the Oregon allocated incremental operating expenses and capital
revenue requirement cost associated with wind repowering;
- 4 •
- 5 • Specifies the amounts that the company requests to recover through the RAC
6 attributable to the revenue requirement changes associated with each of the
company's proposed RAC rate change effective dates; and
- 7 •
- 8 • Explains the proposed accounting treatment of the replaced wind plant
investment.

9 SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

10 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

11 A. In this RAC filing, PacifiCorp seeks recovery of the non-transition adjustment
12 mechanism Oregon-allocated revenue requirement associated with repowering the
13 company's existing fleet of wind resources. PacifiCorp proposes to implement the
14 RAC in two stages: October 1, 2019, and December 1, 2019, to recover costs in a
15 manner that will coincide with the customer benefits from net power cost and
16 production tax credits included in the 2019 transition adjustment mechanism (TAM).
17 The requested RAC recovery amounts are \$16.0 million, through rates effective
18 October 1, 2019, and an additional \$20.8 million, through rates effective December 1,
19 2019.

20 PROPOSED RATEMAKING

21 **Q. Please explain PacifiCorp's proposed ratemaking for inclusion of the repowered
22 wind projects in rates.**

23 A. PacifiCorp seeks recovery of the revenue requirement associated with the costs of the
24 repowered wind projects that are scheduled to be completed in 2019 through this
25 RAC filing. Cost benefits associated with repowering have been approved as part of

1 PacifiCorp's 2019 TAM.¹ PacifiCorp proposes two rate effective dates of October 1,
2 2019 and December 1, 2019, for implementing the proposed rate changes. These
3 proposed dates will allow for the natural grouping of the revenue requirement
4 changes for the repowered wind projects that have achieved final completion as of the
5 respective rate effective dates, minimizing potential regulatory lag and maximizing
6 the matching of costs and benefits.

7 **Q. Please identify the wind repowering projects included in each of the proposed**
8 **RAC rate effective dates of October 1, 2019 and December 1, 2019.**

9 A. The October 1, 2019 rate effective date will include the repowering projects for
10 Leaning Juniper, Seven Mile Hill I, Seven Mile Hill II, and Glenrock I. The
11 December 1, 2019 rate effective date will include the repowering projects for
12 Goodnoe Hills, High Plains, McFadden Ridge, Marengo I and Marengo II.

13 **Q. Do these two rate effective dates include all future repowering projects that**
14 **PacifiCorp anticipates seeking rate recovery for?**

15 A. No. Glenrock III and Dunlap repowering projects will not be completed until 2020.
16 As such, these projects did not have net power cost benefits, including PTC benefits,
17 reflected in the 2019 TAM. PacifiCorp will seek additional RAC rate recovery for
18 those projects at a later time.

¹ See *In the Matter of PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power 2019 Transition Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket No. UE 339, Order No. 18-421 (Oct. 26, 2018).

1 **Q. Does PacifiCorp have any wind repowering projects that it will not seek**
2 **recovery of through the RAC?**

3 A. Yes. The Rolling Hills wind resource is not currently included in Oregon rates;
4 therefore, PacifiCorp will not seek recovery of the Rolling Hills repowering project in
5 the RAC.

6 **Q. If wind projects are repowered before the rate effective dates of October 1, 2019**
7 **and December 1, 2019, is PacifiCorp proposing to defer the costs associated with**
8 **these early completions and amortize those changes at a future time?**

9 A. No. PacifiCorp is proposing that only the costs of completed repowering projects as
10 of the rate effective dates be considered in the RAC rate adjustments.

11 **REVENUE REQUIREMENT**

12 **Q. Have you prepared exhibits that show the calculation of the proposed RAC rate**
13 **adjustments for each of the rate effective dates, October 1, 2019, and December**
14 **1, 2019?**

15 A. Yes. Please refer to Exhibit PAC/401, which shows the annual revenue requirement
16 of the incremental capital and operating costs associated with the repowering of
17 Leaning Juniper, Seven Mile Hill I, Seven Mile Hill II, and Glenrock I for the one-
18 year period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020. These projects are
19 scheduled to achieve final turbine commissioning before October 1, 2019. As
20 calculated in Exhibit PAC/401, PacifiCorp is seeking an annual recovery of \$16.0
21 million through the RAC with a proposed effective date of October 1, 2019.

22 Exhibit PAC/401 also shows the annual revenue requirement of the
23 incremental capital and operating costs associated with the repowering of Goodnoe

1 Hills, High Plains, McFadden Ridge, Marengo I and Marengo II for the one-year
2 period December 1, 2019 through November 30, 2020. These projects are scheduled
3 to achieve final turbine commissioning before December 1, 2019. As calculated in
4 Exhibit PAC/401, PacifiCorp is seeking an annual recovery of \$20.8 million through
5 the RAC with a proposed effective date of December 1, 2019.

6 **Q. How are the revenue requirement costs allocated to Oregon?**

7 A. All costs excluding property tax are allocated using the 2019 forecast System
8 Generation factor used in the 2019 TAM filing. Property tax is allocated using the
9 Gross Plant System factor from PacifiCorp's December 2017 Results of Operations
10 filing, consistent with the calculation of the average Oregon property tax rate also
11 from the December 2017 Results of Operations filing, addressed later in my
12 testimony.

13 **Q. Please describe the revenue requirement components included in Exhibit**
14 **PAC/401.**

15 A. The plant revenue requirement consists of the incremental pre-tax rate of return on
16 average net rate base, operation and maintenance expense, depreciation, property
17 taxes, and wind tax. Net power cost and production tax credits are excluded from the
18 RAC and were instead included in the 2019 TAM filing. Through the combination of
19 the TAM and the RAC, the benefits and costs of repowering will be incorporated into
20 customer rates.

21 Net rate base is calculated using a 13-month average of gross plant less
22 accumulated depreciation and accumulated deferred income tax balances. The
23 13-month average balances are derived from the period October 1, 2019 through

1 October 1, 2020, and December 1, 2019 through December 1, 2020, for the rate
2 effective dates of October 1, 2019 and December 1, 2019, respectively. Exhibits
3 PAC/402 and PAC/403 provide the monthly detail used to derive the 13-month
4 averages.

5 **Q. Please describe the capital structure and pre-tax cost of capital proposed in the**
6 **RAC.**

7 A. Please refer to Exhibit PAC/404. The capital structure and capital costs are taken
8 from the company's December 2017 Results of Operations filing, reflecting the
9 currently authorized capital structure and capital costs approved as part of
10 PacifiCorp's last Oregon general rate case.² The cost of capital is grossed up to a pre-
11 tax rate of return using the consolidated tax rate consistent with current tax law.

12 **Q. Does the operation and maintenance expense (O&M) shown in Exhibit PAC/401**
13 **represent the incremental O&M associated with repowering the various wind**
14 **resources?**

15 A. Yes. The O&M is incremental to repowering and is explained in the testimony of
16 Mr. Timothy Hemstreet, Exhibit PAC/200.

17 **Q. Please explain the depreciation expense in Exhibit PAC/401.**

18 A. The depreciation expense shown in Exhibit PAC/401 is the increased depreciation
19 expense associated with the incremental capital investment placed in service due to
20 repowering.

² See *In the Matter of PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power Request for a General Rate Revision*, Docket No. UE 263, Order No. 13-474 (Dec. 18, 2013).

1 **Q. Does this incremental depreciation expense include the impact of the change in**
2 **depreciation expense associated with the equipment replaced during the**
3 **repowering construction activities?**

4 A. No. The asset value of the replaced wind plant is addressed in the 2018 Depreciation
5 Study filed in docket UM 1968.³ The depreciation expense included in the RAC has
6 been calculated using currently approved depreciation rates.

7 **Q. Please describe the property tax calculation included in the proposed RAC.**

8 A. Please refer to Exhibit PAC/404, which shows the calculation of the average Oregon
9 property tax rate from PacifiCorp's December 2017 Results of Operations filing. The
10 average property tax rate is calculated by dividing the Oregon allocated property
11 taxes by the Oregon allocated net electric plant in service (EPIS). The property taxes
12 attributable to repowering are calculated by multiplying this average property tax rate
13 by the preceding year's December ending net EPIS of the repowering project.

14 **Q. Please describe the Wyoming Wind Tax included in the proposed RAC.**

15 A. The current Wyoming State tax collection of \$1/MWh wind tax has been applied to
16 the incremental change in Wyoming wind generation as a result of repowering. The
17 amount of incremental wind generation due to repowering is addressed in the
18 testimony of Mr. Hemstreet, Exhibit PAC/200.

19 **Q. Are there any other cost considerations that should be addressed as part of the**
20 **wind repowering RAC?**

21 A. Yes. The RAC revenue requirement adjustment includes a gross-up for the
22 incremental rate burden associated with incremental franchise taxes, bad debt

³ See *In the Matter of PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power Application for Authority to Implement Revised Depreciation Rates*, Docket No. UM 1968, (Sep. 13, 2018).

1 expense, resource suppliers tax, and public utility commission fees. These costs have
2 been included in Exhibit PAC/401.

3 **REQUEST FOR RECOVERY OF REPOWERING COSTS**

4 **Q. What is the amount of rate adjustment that PacifiCorp is requesting through the**
5 **RAC?**

6 A. PacifiCorp is requesting an annualized amount of \$16.0 million through the RAC
7 rates proposed to be effective October 1, 2019, to recover the repowering capital and
8 operating revenue requirement concurrent with the rate reductions provided through
9 the TAM for the repowering net power cost and production tax credit benefits.

10 Additionally, PacifiCorp is requesting an annualized amount of \$20.8 million,
11 in addition to the October 1, 2019 adjustment, through the RAC rates proposed to be
12 effective December 1, 2019, to recover the second tranche of revenue requirement
13 associated with the next block of repowered wind turbines. PacifiCorp will update
14 these costs consistent with the requirements of Order No. 07-572.⁴

15 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

16 A. Yes.

⁴ *In the Matter of Public Utility Commission of Oregon Investigation of Automatic Adjustment Clause Pursuant to SB 838, Docket No. UM 1330, Order No. 07-572 at 4 (Dec. 19, 2007).*