Pacific Power Community Benefits & Impacts Advisory Group for Oregon Tribal Nations Public Notes

Friday, April 25, 2025, 9:00 – 11:00 a.m., Pacific Time

These notes were synthesized and summarized by E Source, Pacific Power's meeting facilitation partner.

Executive Summary

April's Community Benefits and Impacts Advisory Group for Oregon Tribal Nations meeting was conducted via Zoom. The meeting was held on April 25, 2025, from 9:00 – 11:00am PT. Four Tribal Nations members attended, representing four organizations. The meeting provided a chance to review the Low-Income Discount survey results and program updates and communicate Clean Energy Planning and Community Benefit Indicator updates.

The following is a summary of the content and feedback received during the 2-hour public meeting.

TIMING	TOPIC
9:00am	Objectives and Presenters
9:10am	Check In and Closing the Loop
9:15am	***Guest Presentation:*** LID Survey Results
10:00am	Break
10:10am	Low-Income Discount Program Updates
10:25am	Updates: • Clean Energy Planning (CEP) • Community Benefit Indicators (CBIs)
10:45am	Public Comment and Closing

Attendees	Organization
Brian Boswell	Indian Utility Cooperative (Cow Creek Tribe)
Eli-Duncan Gilmour	Energy Liaison to the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians
Amber Faist	Northwest Native Chamber
David Hill	Tribal One | Coquille Tribe
Ellsworth Lang	Klamath Tribes (Klamath Modoc-Yahooskin)
Mike Lofting	Warm Springs Power and Water Enterprise
Monica Paradise	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Dave Tovey	Nixyaawii Community Financial Services
Jacob Willis	
Bruce Zimmerman	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation
Presenters	
Kevin Dixon	Escalent, Senior Insights Manager
Christina Medina	Stakeholder Policy & Engagement Manager
Barbara Modey	Customer Satisfaction Market Research Manager
Tracy Moreland	Government Affairs, Tribal Liaison Representative
Charity Spies	Low Income Program Manager
Jeffrey Daigle	E Source, Facilitation Team
Zanya Morgan	E Source, Facilitation Team
Morgan Westberry	E Source, Facilitation Team
Public Attendees	
Jim Deason	
Kate Ayres	Oregon Public Utility Commission
PacifiCorp Affiliated Attendees	
Tag Galvin-Darnieder	E Source, Facilitation Team
Jason Hoffman	Regulatory Affairs Project Manager
Kimberly Alejandro	Clean Energy Planning
Stephanie Dobberfuhl	Low-Income Program Supervisor
Robert Meredith	Policy Director, Pricing & Tarriff

Meeting Notes

Opening

Pacific Power's Christina Medina, Stakeholder Policy & Engagement Manager, welcomed participants to the April Community Benefits and Impacts Advisory Group for Oregon Tribal

Nations meeting. Pacific Power is seeking to offer a space for meaningful dialogue and an opportunity to help offer lens on the work that is happening at the utility, as well as anywhere consultation can help to influence that work. Morgan Westberry, from the E Source facilitation team, then opened the meeting by reviewing the agenda, introducing presenters, and sharing meeting experience items. The information presented in the meeting will be posted online as a resource.

Check In

Morgan Westberry "checked-in" with meeting attendees by asking: *What are grateful for today?*

- Community/family
- Job, insurance benefits
- Breakfast and coffee
- Spring flowers

Closing the Loop

Ms. Medina recapped the March meeting, highlighting the following focal points:

- Communicate updates on the CBRE pilot program
- Understand current Transportation Electrification activities and dissect the upcoming TE plan
- Gain insights into Oregon regulatory processes (with Oregon Public Utility Commission staff)

Roberth Meredith introduced himself as the new Director of Regulation at Pacific Power, where he will lead regulatory activities across Oregon and Washington.

Tribal Liaison Updates

Tracy Moreland, Tribal Liaison, detailed upcoming wildfire forums happening across Oregon in May, both in person and virtually. The forums will feature information about services and resources available to prepare for the season. PacifiCorp is particularly interested in understanding access and functional needs for Tribal customers. Several of the forums are close to Tribal Nations and communities. Please reach out to Ms. Moreland via email at tracy.moreland@pacificorp.com to talk about a forum or presentation just for Tribal customers. Details of the May wildfire forums and events can be found online at: www.pacificpower.net/community.

Guest Presenter: Escalent

Kevin Dixon reviewed the results of the December 2024 customer survey on the Oregon Low-Income Discount (LID) program. The survey aimed to gain a better understanding of Low-Income Discount enrollees to further validate equality and reach of the program, evaluate the impact of

the program on participants, and learn how Low-Income Discount participants' opinions of the program may or may not change over time. The survey's target audience was residential Oregon Low-Income Discount enrollees over the age of 18. The study was conducted online and over the phone through Escalent's survey platform using a list provided by Pacific Power. Customers were invited to participate in online surveys via emails sent by Escalent or contacted via phone by Escalent interviewers. Wave 1 was conducted in May 2023 with 1,536 customers surveyed, wave 2 in October – November 2023 with 2,135 customers participating, and wave 4 in October – November 2024 with 3,345 customers participating. A final wave is planned for quarter 4 of 2025.

Low-Income Discount program customers continue to show high satisfaction with the program at 89% total satisfied, stable across all three waves. The chart below details satisfaction with the LID program with dark blue indicating very satisfied, purple as somewhat satisfied, light gray as neither, dark gray as dissatisfied, and light blue as do not know.



The table below details the very satisfied ratings of households with children, seniors, veterans, and disabled persons. Like previous surveys, homes with children remain the least satisfied with a score of 62%. Homes with seniors have the highest satisfaction ratings with 77% very satisfied. Homes with veterans or disabled family members had a rating of 69% very satisfied.

Household inhabitants (%very)

Children (A)	Seniors (B)	Veterans (C)	Disabled (D)
W3 '24 n=799	*1,752*	*346*	*1,303*
W2 '23 n=564	*1,003*	*185*	*912*
W1 '23 n=425	*686*	*144*	*642*
62%	77%ACD	69%A	69%A
62%	78%AD	74%A	69%A
64%	77%AD	75%A	69%

Participants are significantly less likely to feel the program has made a difference in their ability to pay monthly bills in W3 '24 (61%) compared to W2 '23 (65%) as shown below. The chart below details the difference the LID program has made in customers' ability to pay monthly bills with dark blue indicating very big difference, purple as a big difference, light gray as somewhat of a difference, dark gray as not much or no difference, and light blue as do not know. A red or green arrow indicates a significant difference from the current wave.



The table below highlights the difference the LID program has made in homes with children, seniors, veterans, or disabled persons. Homes with disabled inhabitants are most likely to feel the program has made a very big difference. Of the other types of household members, such as veterans, seniors, and children, about 30% noted the LID program as making a very big difference.

Household inhabitants (%very)

	Children (A)	Seniors (B)	Veterans (C)	Disabled (D)
	W3 '24 n=799	*1,752*	*346*	*1,303*
	W2 '23 n=564	*1,003*	*185*	*912*
	W1 '23 n=425	*686*	*144*	*642*
	31%	31%	28%	34%C
↑	34%	38%	40%	39%
	32%	36%	38%	37%A

The survey also gauged LID program ease of enrollment with dark blue as very easy, purple as somewhat easy, light gray as neither, dark gray as difficult, and blue as do not know as shown below. Ease of enrollment is up in W3 '24 compared to W2 '23 with total easy ratings at 90% compared to 87%, respectively. Very easy ratings are up significantly over W2 and W1 '23 (64% and 67%, respectively) compared to W3 '24 (70%).



The table below highlights the difference in ease of enrollment in homes with children, seniors, veterans, or disabled persons. Homes with Seniors are most likely to feel it was very easy to enroll in the LID program.

Household inhabitants (%very)			
Children (A)	Seniors (B)	Veterans (C)	Disabled (D)
W3 '24 n=799	*1,752*	*346*	*1,303*
W2 '23 n=564	*1,003*	*185*	*912*
W1 '23 n=425	*686*	*144*	*642*
66%	72%ACD	67%	68%
61%	64%D	62%	61%
67%	69%D	73%D	63%

The survey asked participants for recommendations to improve the overall enrollment experience, about half, 49%, of LID participants indicated there is nothing further they would do to improve the enrollment experience. The second most frequent response was do not know/refused at 31%. Other recommendations to improve the enrollment experience included improving program awareness, raising the discount, and lowering rates.

Additionally, participants were allowed to leave open ended responses, some are noted below:

- "Awareness of the program itself. I would have been eligible years ago had I known about it."
- "Perhaps to increase awareness about the availability of the program. I discovered the program through research of utility providers for assistance with bills. It has been tremendously helpful for me."
- "I would increase community awareness of the program. Far too many people have no idea that help is available."
- "I wish they had informed me earlier and I wish they would keep including the fliers in the bills in low-income areas. Maybe run some radio or TV ads. If they could partner with some low-income groups so it would not be their money, [ORGANIZATION] is one of them to advocate for low-income rate payers, to have low-cost public service announcements. I would like more bills to contain fliers like the one I saw; many people throw it out. They could run radio, television, and online to raise awareness that there is a program. Partner with [ORGANIZATION] that advocates for rate payers."

- “It would be helpful if the discount were larger. We are grateful for the discount we are receiving. A little more of a discount could help with groceries.”

ID program participants were also asked to rate the impact and meaning of the program, learning of LID and enrollment methods, and general thoughts, feedback, or suggested improvements. In response to the impact and meaning of the program, reducing stress (57%), keeping home at a comfortable temperature (46%), and being able to afford their electricity bill (45%) continue to be the greatest impacts from the LID program on customers’ lives. Only Reducing stress shows a significant difference, down from W1 '23 (61%). When asked what the program meant for themselves/family, participants provide some variation from previous waves with significant changes in W3 '24 compared to W1 and W2 '23 across Happy with program/assistance, less financial issues/lower bill, less stress/anxiety and Able to pay bill on time. In response to learning of LID and enrollment methods, direct outreach from Pacific Power (27%) and Pacific Power’s website (27%) are the most common sources of learning about the LID program. Mentions of Direct outreach from Pacific Power and Pacific Power’s website both increased significantly in W3 '24 compared to W1 and W2 '23 while mentions of an Agency or non-profit in their community declined significantly compared to prior waves. After learning of the program, customers continue to report hesitancy about signing up because they should be able to pay their own bills (25%), stable compared to prior waves. Enrollment most often happens via the website. However, due to a change in response options, enrollment method trend results should be viewed with caution. In general, one-half (50%) of customers want to share that they are happy with the program. Other suggestions and general thoughts were to raise the discount, improve awareness of the program, power prices are too high/negative assistance, hope program continues/keeps helping, and Inflation has had an impact, all showed significant changes in the current wave compared to W1 and/or W2 ‘23.

Additionally, participants were allowed to leave open ended responses, some are noted below:

- “Make sure that the people who need this assistance are aware of this program.”
- “I truly appreciate the help in providing me affordable power so I can better pay for my prescriptions I am required to take and helping me afford being a bit more comfortable in using my home electricity.”
- “It could be better advertised. I found LID on your website almost by accident. Program does not receive the fanfare it ought to.”
- “Inform customers when it is time to review the monthly charge. I did not realize until I got a bill that went up almost $20.”
- “Maybe give an estimate of what we would be paying vs what we are with the discount. Also letting a customer know when they are approved for the LID program would be nice.”
- “I believe I was automatically signed up after receiving energy grants from a supporting agency. As a single parent, the combination of discounts has been a blessing for our mental health in these challenging financial times.”

In addition to feelings about the Low-Income Discount program, the survey gauged the number of participants receiving federal benefits of any kind such as SNAP/food stamps, OHP/Medicaid/CHIP, SSI/disability assistance, housing choice vouchers such as Section 8, WIC, TANF, Medicare, or something else. At 62%, the proportion of respondents who receive federal benefits declined significantly in W3 '24 compared to W1 and W2 '23 (73% each). SNAP/food stamps continue to be the most widely received benefit among those who receive any, followed by OHP/Medicaid/CHIP health insurance, then SSI/assistance for people with disabilities.

The survey also added two recommendation questions used to calculate Net Promoter Scores based on the likelihood of recommending this program to others. While the Pacific Power NPS score of 54 is respectable, the LID program has a much higher NPS score at 72. Customers who participate in programs have a higher likelihood of recommendation than those who do not. Additionally, the survey asks if participants have access to cooling or warming centers. Nearly two-thirds (64%) of participants have transportation to heating or cooling centers within their community. Notably, 11% indicate that they have no centers in their community and 13% indicate that they have no transportation.

Escalent conducted a repeat respondent analysis to understand how opinions have changed over the years, if there was any change at all. Overall, 609 respondents participated in the later 2023 wave and quarter 4 2024 wave. Repeat respondent results show slight variations but nothing of statistical significance across program satisfaction, difference program made in ability to pay monthly bills, and ease of enrollment. The program's impact on the respondent's life is stable with reduced stress, being able to keep home at a more comfortable temperature and afford the electricity bill being the most mentioned. The meaning of the program for the respondent and their family saw some shifts with mentions of being happy with the program increasing significantly along with being able to pay bills on time/not make arrangements. The number of people in the household has an inverse relationship with LID program satisfaction and difference made in ability to pay monthly bills; as number of people increases, total positive ratings decline. While total program satisfaction is high across enrollment lengths, significantly more respondents enrolled 0-6 months are satisfied compared to those enrolled for 20-24 months (91% vs. 88%, respectively). Additionally, recent enrollees (0-6 months enrolled) provide the highest ease of enrollment ratings. Residential participant profiles were also analyzed to categorize household ownership, type of home, primary heart source, types of electric heat, air conditioning, ages in household, receiving federal benefits, ethnicity, preferred language, and number of people in household.

Key findings:

- Direct outreach from Pacific Power and Pacific Power's website are the top 2 sources of program awareness in W3. Both are up significantly from W1 and W2
- Enrollment most often happens via the website.
- 90% felt enrollment was easy (up significantly from W2) and one half continued to say nothing was needed to improve it.
- 89% are satisfied with the Low-Income Discount Program, stable with W1 and W2 (90% each). Households with Seniors continue to show the highest levels of very satisfied ratings (77% vs. 62% to 69%)
- Demographics show a handful of significant changes from prior waves. Home ownership, single-family home residence, natural gas primary heating, heat pump usage, and seniors aged 65+ all increased significantly in W3 '24 compared to W1 and W2 '23. Children under the age of 18 in the home and electric primary heat source declined significantly in W3 '24 compared to W1 and W2 '23
- Enrollees are most likely to have Seniors (52%) and/or person(s) with a disability (39%) in their home, and roughly three-in-five receive federal benefits (62%). Over four-in-five (82%) are white or Caucasian, followed by 7% Latino or Hispanic, 3% Native American and/or Alaskan, 2% Black or African American, and 2% Asian.
- 61% of enrollees feel that LID has made a big to very big difference in their ability to pay monthly bills, down significantly from W2 '23 (65%)
- In addition to lowering stress/anxiety, enrollees continue to report that the program lets them keep their home at a more comfortable temperature
- The top two constructive suggestions to improve the program are to increase the discount (6%) and improve awareness of it (5%)
- Repeat survey respondent results are very stable between W2 '23 and W3 '24 across program satisfaction, difference program has made in ability to pay monthly bills, and ease of enrolling. Repeat respondents are significantly more likely to mention they are happy with the program/assistance compared to W2 '23
- Enrollees who are new to the program (0-6 months) provide significantly higher total satisfied ratings of the program compared to those who have been enrolled for 20-24 months (91% vs. 88%)

Recommendations to improve the LID program experience were:

- Low Income Discount W3 '24 participants perceive a lower effect of the program on their ability to pay monthly bills compared to W2 '23. Two likely factors contributing to this are:
    - Discount amounts not keeping up with residential rate increases for Pacific Power customers: **Consider evaluating the discount amount in the context of changing customer bill amounts.**
    - A significantly smaller portion of the W3 '24 participants receive federal benefits, making their financial situations more challenging. For example,

emergency SNAP benefits enacted during the pandemic ended in early 2023. **When requesting funding for future LID expansion, consider recent and upcoming changes to the federal benefits rules and how those may affect LID participants.**

- **Partnering with local agencies or non-profits could increase awareness of the program.** This source of awareness significantly decreased in mentions in W3 ’24.
    - Direct outreach and the Pacific Power website have been effective in promoting awareness.
- Consider options for adding cooling/warming centers and transportation to them in geographies **where LID customers currently do not have access.**
    - These top zip codes would cover 37% of LID customers without access to cooling/warming centers if ones were provided there: 97420, 97501, 97526, 97504, 97601, 97603, 97756, 97330, 97470, 97220, 97355, 97527, 97322. This is largely South/Southwest Oregon (Roseburg, Grants Pass, Medford, Klamath Falls) and Central Willamette Valley Oregon (Albany/Lebanon/Corvallis)
- **Continue with any enrollment process changes enacted in 2024** – they have been improving ease of enrollment.
- Households with children still lag other households in satisfaction with the program and other metrics.
    - **Consider exploring the root causes of this difference and co-creating solutions with participating customers.**

Meeting Discussion:

- Klamath Tribes explained that low-income is not an identity and customers experiencing poverty should not be referred to as “low-income customers.”
    - Ms. Westberry offered to facilitate a larger discussion with the PacifiCorp engagement team to implement the feedback.
    - Ms. Medina expressed appreciation for the feedback.
- Warm Springs Power and Water Enterprise questioned how low income is defined?
    - Charity Spires shared the definition of low-income for program eligibility, 60% of the federal poverty level, which also aligns with the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program and the Oregon Energy Assistance Program.
        - Warm Springs Power and Water Enterprise suggested having the low-income definition on the slides.
            - Mr. Dixon noted the feedback and will add the definition to future presentations.
- Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation asked how household income is determined? Is it self-reported? Does it include tax exempt income like SSI? Disability payments for minor children? These households are typically not required to file income taxes. So, does income refer to total gross annual income from all sources – taxable and nontaxable?
    - Ms. Spires clarified the program process. The LID program is self-attested; however, PacifiCorp is working on the post enrollment verification process for about 3% of enrolled customers. Qualifying income refers to total gross income

from all sources, taxable and non-taxable, which includes SSI. The income qualifications and sources align with what is used for LIHEAP.

- Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation asked if customers could exclude SSI or disability payments going to minor children? Would there be exceptions for specific circumstances? There should be a larger discussion about when to exclude certain streams of income.
  - Ms. Spires explained that the income qualifications only apply to those 18+.
  - Ms. Westberry added that there are program guidelines through LIHEAP that customers will still have to follow, but the suggestion will be taken into consideration.

- Warm Springs Power and Water Enterprise questioned if the income qualification is 60% of the federal poverty level?
  - Ms. Spires explained the income qualification is 60% of the state median income, not the federal poverty level.
- Klamath Tribes expressed gratitude for the information presented and the new understanding of the direction in which PacifiCorp is moving things along. This information can be shared with the Klamath Tribes to help members stabilize their finances and power through tough circumstances.
  - Ms. Westberry thanked the group for their feedback and engagement.
  - Stephanie Dobberfuhl shared the link to review the program application. https://www.pacificpower.net/LID

Low-Income Discount Program

Charity Spires, Low-Income Program Manager, detailed the agency survey that was run in addition to the Escalent survey. The objectives of the agency survey were to understand the overall perception, satisfaction, and ease of use for Low-Income Discount enrollees, gauge the value of current language options and the addition of alternative options, and evaluate the enrollment process for third parties. The survey was conducted online through 14 community action agencies with participants surveyed via email in English only. Overall, the agency survey garnered an 80% response rate.

The survey asked participants about their overall perception, satisfaction, ease of self-enrollment for customers, awareness of third-party enrollment option online, whether staff applied on behalf of customers, ease of third-party enrollment, staff referring customers to Pacific Power web application and/or customer care center to apply, language options, and outreach strategies. The table below details the response rate and response scale based on an average of 12 responses.

Questions	Responses	Responses
Overall perception of Low-Income Discount program	12	58% Somewhat of a difference for customers 33% Big difference for customers 8% Very big difference for customers
Satisfaction	12	58% Somewhat satisfied 25% Very satisfied 17% Neither satisfied or dissatisfied
Ease of self-enroll for customers	12	58% Somewhat easy 2% Very easy 2% Don't know 1% Somewhat difficult
Awareness of third-party enrollment option online	12	58% Aware of the third-party enrollment option 33% Not aware 8% Don't know
Staff apply on behalf of customers	12	67% Did not apply on behalf of customers 25% Applied on behalf of customers 8% Didn't know
Ease of third-party enrollment	3	67% Found it easy to enroll on behalf of customers 33% did not find it easy
Staff refer customers to Pacific Power web application and/or customer care center to apply	9	100% Referred customers to either apply online or contact customer care center to apply
Language options to reach their community	12	English (12); Spanish (12); Chinese – Mandarin (2); Japanese (2); Russian (3); Vietnamese (1); Tagalog (1), and Ukrainian (1)
Outreach Strategies	8 – 10	Handouts for agency distribution, newsletter or bill inserts, local organization partnerships, direct outreach (email, letter, postcard, bill message), local news, social media 6 of the 14 survey questions around marketing and outreach.

As of January 1, 2025, the Low-Income Discount program will have 3 tiers with up to an 80% discount. Tier 1 is a 20% discount for customers with income between 21 – 60% of the state median income, with 52,247 enrollments. Tier 2 is a 40% discount for customers with income between 6 – 20% of the statement median income, with 10,134 enrollments. Tier 3 is an 80% discount for customers with less than 5% of the statement median income with 5,056 enrollments. In the creation of tier 3, Pacific Power identified existing LID program participants eligible for the tier 3 discount and moved them to that rate in January 2025. Enrollment metrics are current as of February 25, 2025.

Based on the Energy Burden Assessment completed in August 2024, low-income households under 60% of the state median income are estimated to have a 41% participation rate out of about 166,000 residents and low-income high burden households are estimated to have an 81% participation rate out of 80,000 residents.

The graph below tracks the trend of program enrollment since the launch in October 2022. In July 2024, marketing efforts increased with postcards and email notifications, leading to more enrollment. Additionally, Oregon Housing provides customer lists monthly, and those customers are automatically enrolled in the program.

Residential



General Service

- Master Meter (Affordable Housing) – 2 properties enrolled

The chart below tracks the program enrollment by tier, which shows more customers are enrolled in the tier 1 discount than 2 and 3. Please note: February 2025 reflects a decrease of participants in the 40% discount tier as some of those customers were identified as eligible for the 80% discount and moved to that discount level.



Customers are enrolled in the program for two years then will be required to recertify Customers who received LIHEAP funds within the past 12 months of their recertification date will be automatically reenrolled for another two years. 60 days ahead of the recertification date, customers are sent letters and emails in their preferred language, either Spanish or English. If the first notification does not garner a response, a second notification is sent out 30 days prior, then a phone call is issued 15 days prior. After three notifications, if the customer is unresponsive, they will receive a removal notification. Pacific Power began the recertification process in October 2024 but paused the process through March 1, 2025, to evaluate and restructure the reenrollment process. A total of 336 customers were auto re-enrolled and 4,868 customers recertified to re-enroll in the program.

Meeting Discussion:

- Warm Springs Power and Water Enterprise voiced concerns about fraud.
    - Ms. Spires assured that such concerns were previously voiced when tier 1 and 2 were implemented, however, the post enrollment verification will help mitigate and deter fraudulent activity.

Clean Energy Planning

Kimberly Alejandro, Clean Energy Planning Manager, reviewed key updates regarding the Clean Energy Plan filing. PacifiCorp originally filed a motion for extension of its 2025 Clean Energy Plan (CEP) by 180 days on December 20, 2024,. The Public Utility Commission of Oregon (OPUC) discussed the request with both joint parties and with PacifiCorp. PacifiCorp offered that an extension of just 90 days would be acceptable, and OPUC staff then made their recommendation to the Commission in their memo, to target the CEP filing date for June 30, 2025,. The issue was heard at the OPUC Public Meeting on March 4, 2025, where a decision was made. PacifiCorp's 2025 Clean Energy Plan will be filed by June 30, 2025. To follow the docket, please visit https://apps.puc.state.or.us/edockets/docket.asp?DocketID=23647.

The table below details the upcoming Clean Energy Planning engagements for 2025 along with proposed agenda topics.

Date / Time / Meeting Format	Proposed Agenda Topics*
January 2025	No meeting
Date: February 19, 2025 (Wednesday) **Time:** 9 a.m. - 12 p.m. (PST) **Format:** Zoom | Online	Clean Energy Plan Engagement Series Meeting #1 • Integrated Resource Plan Updates • Oregon Sensitivities • Proposed (New!) Customer Benefit Indicator: SO2 and NOx • Transportation Electrification Presentation • Small-Scale Renewables (SSRs) • Community-Based Renewable Energy (CBREs)
March 2025	No meeting
April 2025	No meeting
Date: May 28, 2025 (Wednesday) **Time:** 9 a.m. - 12 p.m. (PST) **Format:** Zoom | Online	Clean Energy Plan Engagement Series Meeting #2 • Integrated Resource Plan Updates • 2025 Clean Energy Plan Updates • Procurement Updates
June 2025	No meeting
July 2025	No meeting
Date: August 20, 2025 (Wednesday) **Time:** 9 a.m. - 12 p.m. (PST) **Format:** Zoom | Online	Clean Energy Plan Engagement Series Meeting #3 • Community-Based Renewable Energy (CBREs) • Integrated Resource Plan Updates • "Listening Session"
September 2025	No meeting
October 2025	No meeting
Date: November 19, 2025 (Wednesday) **Time:** 9 a.m. - 12 p.m. (PST) **Format:** Zoom | Online)	Clean Energy Plan Engagement Series Meeting #4 • Overview of PacifiCorp's filed Clean Energy Plan (tentative) • Integrated Resource Plan Engagement Roadmap
December 2025	No meeting

To register for the upcoming PacifiCorp Oregon Clean Energy Plan Engagement Series, please visit: Meeting Registration - Zoom or email the CEP team at OregonCEP@Pacificorp.com.

Final Thoughts

Morgan Westberry opened the floor for public comments and concluding thoughts.

There were no public comments nor closing thoughts from members.

Next Steps

Christina Medina closed the meeting by providing context on the next steps, thanking members for feedback and the team for support and putting together a great meeting.

The Tribal Nations group will not meet in May due to the Clean Energy Plan Engagement on May 28.

The group will reconvene on June 27, 2025 PT to discuss community benefit indicators and clean energy plan filing update.

Pacific Power will continue to evolve and is looking forward to continued work with the Tribal Nations members and welcomes members to invite colleagues from their organizations to join the calls.