Application No. 22-05-\_\_\_ Exhibit PAC/600 Witness: Ryan D. McGraw

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PACIFICORP

Direct Testimony of Ryan D. McGraw

The Kiewit Studies

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
III.	PREPARATION OF THE KIEWIT STUDIES	2
	A. AACE Class 3 Cost Estimates	5
	B. Kiewit's Process for Preparing its AACE Class 3 Cost Estimates	6
IV.	SPECIFIC COSTS PROVIDED BY PACIFICORP	9

#### ATTACHED EXHIBITS

Confidential Exhibit PAC/601 – January 15, 2020 Kiewit Decommissioning Study

Confidential Exhibit PAC/602 – March 15, 2020 Kiewit Decommissioning Study

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp
3		d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp or Company).
4	A.	My name is Ryan D. McGraw. My business address is 1407 West North Temple,
5		Suite 310, Salt Lake City, Utah. My position is Vice President of Project
6		Development.
7	Q.	Please describe your education and business experience including a description
8		of your current duties.
9	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science Degree from the University of California at Davis, a
10		Juris Doctorate from the Willamette University College of Law, and a Master of
11		Business Administration from Willamette University's Atkinson Graduate School of
12		Management. My career in energy began in 2003, including positions at Pacific Gas
13		and Electric and the Bonneville Power Administration. Prior to joining PacifiCorp in
14		July 2020, I was Vice President of Development for Orion Renewable Energy Group
15		LLC, a developer of renewable energy facilities across the United States. In my
16		current role at PacifiCorp as Vice President of Project Development, my
17		responsibilities encompass strategic planning, regulatory support, stakeholder
18		engagement, and development and execution of major generation resource additions.
19		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
21	A.	My testimony supports and explains in technical detail the process the Company used
22		to ensure that the January 15, 2020 and March 15, 2020 decommissioning studies
23		prepared by Kiewit Engineering Group, Inc. (Kiewit) for eight of PacifiCorp's

1		coal-fired generation resources (Kiewit Studies or Decommissioning Studies) are
2		reasonable, accurate, and comply with the Association for the Advancement of Cost
3		Engineers (AACE) <sup>1</sup> Class 3 standards. The Kiewit Studies are included as
4		Confidential Exhibits PAC/601 and PAC/602 to my testimony.
5	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
6	A.	My testimony:
7		• Discusses the preparation of the Decommissioning Studies and the steps
8		PacifiCorp and Kiewit took to ensure that they were independently prepared,
9		accurate, and satisfy the standards of an AACE Class 3 cost estimate.
10		• Outlines the specific itemized costs provided by PacifiCorp. While Kiewit and
11		its subcontractors developed most costs contained in the Kiewit Studies,
12		PacifiCorp did provide some inputs. I describe the specific nature and purpose
13		of each input.
14		III. PREPARATION OF THE KIEWIT STUDIES
15	Q.	Why were the Kiewit Studies developed?
16	А.	The Kiewit Studies were prepared in conjunction with the 2020 PacifiCorp
17		Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Protocol (2020 Protocol) described in testimony
18		provided by witness Steven R. McDougal (Exhibit PAC/900). The 2020 Protocol
19		requires PacifiCorp to procure a third-party decommissioning study to provide an
20		accurate decommissioning cost estimate to inform the Company's recommendation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AACE International is the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineers and is commonly referred to as AACE. AACE is a 501(c)(3) non-profit professional association founded in 1956 that offers publications, practice guides, education, certification, and recommended practices for cost estimating.

1		on the amount of decommissioning cost responsibility allocated to states that elect to
2		exit from coal-fueled resources prior to planned closure date. <sup>2</sup> The Company
3		committed to undertaking contractor-assisted engineering studies by January 15,
4		2020, for the Jim Bridger, Dave Johnston, Hunter, Huntington, Naughton, Wyodak,
5		and Hayden plants and by March 15, 2020, for Colstrip Units 3 and 4. <sup>3</sup>
6	Q.	Please describe the scope of the Kiewit Studies.
7	A.	The scope of work for the Kiewit Studies included the following:
8		• Provide an owner-informed, overall decommissioning design basis for all of the
9		generating facilities in the Decommissioning Studies. The design basis
10		established the fundamental assumptions for the cost estimates provided in the
11		final Kiewit Studies.
12		• Provide an AACE Class 3 cost estimate to identify all the costs for the
13		decommissioning, demolition, reclamation, and remediation of the Jim Bridger,
14		Dave Johnston, Hunter, Huntington, Naughton, Wyodak, Hayden, and Colstrip
15		Units 3 and 4 coal-fueled resources.
16		• Provide a narrative report describing the entities involved, the process used to
17		prepare the report, and the underlying assumptions.
18		• Provide a spreadsheet report incorporating the AACE Class 3 cost estimates
19		inclusive of certain PacifiCorp-provided cost estimates, reviewed by Kiewit.
20		• Provide cost estimates based on fourth-quarter 2019 dollars.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 2020 Protocol § 4.3.1.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* (The 2020 Protocol stipulated that PacifiCorp would use its best efforts to estimate the decommissioning cost requirements for Jim Bridger, Dave Johnson, Hunter, Huntington, Naughton, Wyodak, and Hayden by January 15, 2020. The Company also committed to use its best efforts to complete a decommissioning cost study for Colstrip Units 3 and 4 by March 15, 2020.)

1	Q.	Given that the scope of work dictated that cost estimates be provided as of
2		fourth-quarter 2019, did Kiewit escalate its cost estimates to correspond with the
3		projected retirement dates of the facilities?
4	А.	No. The scope of work assumed that all units at each plant were retired on
5		December 31, 2019, and that work to begin the decommissioning, demolition, and
6		reclamation could begin January 1, 2020. However, the cost estimates included a cost
7		escalation for the projected length of the reclamation period which ranged from 30 to
8		50 months.
9	Q.	Why did PacifiCorp select Kiewit to prepare its decommissioning studies?
10	A.	PacifiCorp engaged Kiewit to perform the Decommissioning Studies consistent with
11		the 2020 Protocol. <sup>4</sup> Kiewit is an independent and highly regarded engineering and
12		construction firm founded in 1884 with approximately 25 years of experience
13		performing decommissioning work on coal-fueled generation facilities, specializing
14		in the earthwork required to restore sites.
15	Q.	Did Kiewit bring on other engineering firms to add depth and expertise to its
16		team?
17	A.	Yes. Kiewit brought on North American Dismantling Corporation (NADC) to assist
18		in preparing the Kiewit Studies. NADC is an engineering firm known for precision
19		demolition, with approximately 35 years and 27,000 megawatts of decommissioning
20		experience. The Kiewit Studies also included review and input from independent
21		hazardous materials abatement contractors Winter Environmental and ABC
22		Abatement. Two additional independent demolition contractors, Bierlein Companies,

<sup>4</sup> See Id.

Direct Testimony of Ryan D. McGraw

- Inc. and Brandenburg Industrial Service Company, reviewed the Kiewit Studies to
   verify their reasonableness.
- 3

Α.

#### AACE Class 3 Cost Estimates

4 Q. Describe an AACE Class 3 estimate.

5 A. AACE Class 3 estimates are generally prepared to form the basis for budget

6 authorization, appropriation, and funding of major projects. As such, they typically

7 form the initial control estimate against which actual costs will be monitored.

- 8 Because of the increased specificity, a Class 3 estimate has an expected accuracy of
- 9 minus 20 percent to plus 30 percent and defines 10 to 40 percent of the project scope.<sup>5</sup>

## 10 Q. What inputs are required to prepare an AACE Class 3 cost estimate?

- 11 A. Class 3 estimates use deterministic estimating methods instead of generic methods.
- 12 They typically involve a substantial number of cost line items, although factoring and 13 other methods can be used for less-defined project areas. An AACE Class 3 estimate 14 provides the most accurate possible estimate for defining a project's costs without
- 15 beginning the request for proposal process.

## 16 Q. How does an AACE Class 3 estimate compare to an AACE Class 5 estimate?

- 17 A. PacifiCorp conducted an AACE Class 5 estimate for the Company's "Depreciation
- 18 Study Calculated Annual Depreciation Accruals Related to Electric Plant as of
- 19 December 31, 2017" (Depreciation Study) that is sponsored by Company witness
- 20 Ms. Nikki L. Kobliha. Class 5 estimates are generally prepared on limited
- 21 information and subsequently, have a wide accuracy range. Specifically, a Class 5

<sup>5</sup> See generally

https://www.costengineering.eu/Downloads/articles/AACE\_CLASSIFICATION\_SYSTEM.pdf.

1		estimate has an expected accuracy of minus 50 percent to plus 100 percent and
2		defines 0 to 2 percent of the project's scope.6 Class 5 estimates typically use generic,
3		or proxy estimates developed from costs based on demolition of similar sized plants.
4		These estimates are then adjusted with cost factors to compensate for regional or
5		locational differences, acres of land involved or obvious high-cost items such as
6		evaporation ponds, coal pile restoration, or offsite raw water supply reservoirs.
7		Accordingly, AACE Class 5 estimates are used for concept screening and assessments
8		of long-range capital planning.
9		B. Kiewit's Process for Preparing its AACE Class 3 Cost Estimates
10	Q.	Did Kiewit use AACE Class 3 level methodologies to conduct its
11		decommissioning studies for PacifiCorp?
12		
12	A.	Yes. The Kiewit Studies focused on the individual generation units and all common
12	А.	Yes. The Kiewit Studies focused on the individual generation units and all common plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and
	А.	
13	А.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and
13 14	А.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed
13 14 15	А.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed information concerning site layout and conditions. Kiewit also requested drawings,
13 14 15 16	Α.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed information concerning site layout and conditions. Kiewit also requested drawings, reports, environmental studies, and additional documentation regarding each facility
13 14 15 16 17	Α.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed information concerning site layout and conditions. Kiewit also requested drawings, reports, environmental studies, and additional documentation regarding each facility which were incorporated into their assessments. Kiewit then broke the
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	Α.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed information concerning site layout and conditions. Kiewit also requested drawings, reports, environmental studies, and additional documentation regarding each facility which were incorporated into their assessments. Kiewit then broke the decommissioning and reclamation costs down into major line items including: (1) site
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	Α.	plant facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter. Specifically, Kiewit and NADC conducted site visits by touring each facility and gathering detailed information concerning site layout and conditions. Kiewit also requested drawings, reports, environmental studies, and additional documentation regarding each facility which were incorporated into their assessments. Kiewit then broke the decommissioning and reclamation costs down into major line items including: (1) site investigation and development; (2) decommissioning; (3) pre-demolition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Id.

Direct Testimony of Ryan D. McGraw

1		equipment and specialty subcontractor costs are estimated, and to those estimated
2		quantities, experiential or historical pricing is applied from Kiewit's proprietary cost
3		database to calculate the estimated costs. The methodology, quantities, costs, and
4		documented assumptions are summarized in the Kiewit Studies, provided as
5		Confidential Exhibits PAC/601 and PAC/602.
6	Q.	Do the Kiewit Studies expand the scope of the Company's Depreciation Study?
7	A.	Yes. The Depreciation Study focused primarily at the facility level and limited its
8		site-specific analysis to three generating units. The previous estimates also did not
9		include all infrastructure and utilities outside the plant perimeter. In contrast, the
10		Kiewit Studies focused on all of the individual units, as well as on all common plant
11		facilities, both inside and outside the facility perimeter.
12	Q.	Did the Kiewit Studies address site reclamation?
12 13	<b>Q.</b> A.	<b>Did the Kiewit Studies address site reclamation?</b> Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each
13		Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each
13 14		Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit
13 14 15		Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit and regulatory conditions, installing topsoil, and seeding for native plants. Topsoil
13 14 15 16		Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit and regulatory conditions, installing topsoil, and seeding for native plants. Topsoil installation and seeding were not estimated for the Wyodak plant because it is located
13 14 15 16 17		Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit and regulatory conditions, installing topsoil, and seeding for native plants. Topsoil installation and seeding were not estimated for the Wyodak plant because it is located within an industrial park, and the site will be restored for industrial use. PacifiCorp's
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	A.	Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit and regulatory conditions, installing topsoil, and seeding for native plants. Topsoil installation and seeding were not estimated for the Wyodak plant because it is located within an industrial park, and the site will be restored for industrial use. PacifiCorp's Depreciation Study did not include site reclamation costs.
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	А. <b>Q</b> .	Yes. The Kiewit Studies include site reclamation costs as a specific line item for each generating facility. Reclamation scope assumptions include grading to meet permit and regulatory conditions, installing topsoil, and seeding for native plants. Topsoil installation and seeding were not estimated for the Wyodak plant because it is located within an industrial park, and the site will be restored for industrial use. PacifiCorp's Depreciation Study did not include site reclamation costs. <b>Did the Kiewit Studies address owner's costs and indirect costs?</b>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Indirect costs are defined at section 5.9 of the January 15, 2020 Kiewit Decommissioning Study.

\_\_\_\_

Depreciation Study did not include owner's project development and oversight costs
 or indirect costs.

3	Q.	How did the Company provide information to Kiewit?
4	A.	Throughout the process, PacifiCorp ensured that Kiewit had access to documents,
7	А.	Throughout the process, I aemeorp ensured that Kiewit had access to documents,
5		power plant sites, and power plant personnel. PacifiCorp provided a liaison,
6		Mr. H. Grant Laughter, who visited each power plant along with Kiewit's team to
7		provide a consistent evaluation of each plant.
8	Q.	Did Kiewit work independently from the Company when preparing these
9		studies?
10	A.	Yes. Once PacifiCorp developed the scope of work and provided information about
11		its plants, the Company did not participate in Kiewit's cost estimation process.
12		Kiewit prepared its own cost estimates for the decommissioning, decontamination,
13		demolition, and reclamation of the Company's coal-fired resources based on the
14		design parameters outlined in the Kiewit Studies.
15	Q.	Were the Kiewit Studies' cost estimates subject to additional, independent
16		review?
17	A.	Yes. As noted above, two additional independent demolition contractors-Bierlein
18		Companies, Inc. and Brandenburg Industrial Service Company—reviewed the results
19		of the Kiewit Studies. Both companies are leaders in the field of demolition and
20		restoration of industrial sites, and both companies agreed that the cost estimates
21		provided in the Kiewit Studies represent accurate AACE Class 3 cost estimates.
22		The costs included in the Depreciation Study were never independently
23		reviewed and endorsed in this manner and are considered a base level of

1		decommissioning costs that were used to develop depreciation rates. The costs
2		estimated in the Kiewit Studies that exceed the base level of decommissioning costs
3		are the incremental decommissioning costs being addressed in the Company's current
4		application.
5		IV. SPECIFIC COSTS PROVIDED BY PACIFICORP
6	Q.	Did PacifiCorp provide some of the cost inputs used in the Kiewit Studies?
7	A.	Yes. While Kiewit independently developed the vast majority of the costs contained
8		within the Kiewit Studies, PacifiCorp provided some limited inputs for items with
9		less-defined costs, costs that the Company had already developed, and remediation
10		and closure costs.
11	Q.	How do the Kiewit Studies break down these costs?
12	A.	Very generally, the Kiewit Studies break down costs into two categories: (1) "Base
13		Estimate"; and (2) "Other Items to be Considered." Base estimates, which represent
14		the majority of decommissioning costs, include the costs to decommission,
15		decontaminate, demolish, and reclaim the site. Kiewit developed the majority of
16		these costs. The "Other Items to be Considered" category encompasses remediation
17		and closure costs, such as materials and supply (M&S) inventory, unspecified
18		liabilities, and coal pile excavation and haul off.
19	Q.	What costs did PacifiCorp provide as part of the Kiewit Studies' base estimates?
20	A.	PacifiCorp provided (1) owner's costs for decommissioning; (2) the Company's
21		asbestos removal estimates; and (3) the Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR).
22		PacifiCorp also provided owner plant-specific liabilities, which were inadvertently
23		identified as a separate cost category in section 5.8 of the Kiewit Studies, but should

1		have been included in section 5.14, Other Items to Consider.
2	Q.	What is included in the line item for owner's costs for decommissioning?
3	А.	This line item includes internal Company costs to oversee the decommissioning
4		process. This process includes equipment shutdown, disconnection from
5		transmission, removal of personal property, closeout of operating permits, and
6		disposition of spares, inventory, consumables, chemicals, and universal wastes.
7	Q.	How did the Company prepare these costs for the Kiewit Studies?
8	A.	PacifiCorp estimated owner's costs based on the actual owner costs incurred for
9		decommissioning and demolition of the Carbon generating facility, adjusted for the
10		size of the generating facility and economies of scale.
11	Q.	Based on your professional experience, do you believe that the cost estimates
12		contained in the Kiewit Studies are a reasonable, AACE Class 3 estimate of
13		PacifiCorp's decommissioning and remediation costs?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
16	A.	Yes.

Application No. 22-05-\_\_\_ Exhibit PAC/601 Witness: Ryan D. McGraw

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

## OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PACIFICORP

## REDACTED

Direct Testimony of Ryan D. McGraw

January 15, 2020 Kiewit Decommissioning Study

# THIS ATTACHMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY AND IS PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER

Application No. 22-05-\_\_\_ Exhibit PAC/602 Witness: Ryan D. McGraw

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PACIFICORP

#### REDACTED

Direct Testimony of Ryan D. McGraw

March 15, 2020 Kiewit Decommissioning Study

May 2022

# THIS ATTACHMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY AND IS PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER