Docket No. UE 433 Exhibit PAC/1500 Witness: William J. Comeau BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION **OF OREGON PACIFICORP Direct Testimony of William J. Comeau** February 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	. 1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	. 1
III.	PACIFICORP'S CURRENT CUSTOMER SERVICE SYSTEM	. 2
IV.	DECISION TO UPDATE THE CURRENT CSS	. 4
V	CONCLUSION	6

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and current position with PacifiCorp
3		d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp or Company).
4	A.	My name is William J. Comeau. My business address is 1407 West North Temple,
5		Suite 310, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84116. I am the Vice President of Customer
6		Experience and Innovation for PacifiCorp.
7	Q.	Please describe your education and professional experience.
8	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science from Weber State University and a Master of Business
9		Administration from Keller University. During my 22 years of working in the utility
10		industry I have held multiple responsibilities including roles in economic
11		development, customer service, demand side management programs and renewable
12		energy, and since January 2020, I have served as Vice President of Customer
13		Experience and Innovation. Through that role I oversee PacifiCorp's call centers,
14		customer billing, customer technology tools (e.g., customer web account and mobile
15		app) and customer programs.
16	Q.	Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?
17		Yes. I have previously sponsored testimony in Washington, Wyoming and Utah.
18		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
19	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this case?
20	A.	I provide background on, and the need to upgrade, the Company's legacy Customer
21		Service System (CSS).

1 III. PACIFICORP'S CURRENT CUSTOMER SERVICE SYSTEM 2 Q. Can you please provide background on the Company's current system? 3 Yes. PacifiCorp's existing CSS was placed in service in the 1990's. The initial CSS A. 4 utilized IBM mainframe technologies and provided an integrated solution for the 5 Company's customer service needs, but the system was limited to supporting billing 6 and customer care functions. The CSS currently supports, in various functions and 7 capabilities, the Company's billing and relationship management of two million 8 customers across its six-state service territories. 9 Q. Has PacifiCorp expanded CSS capabilities over time? 10 A. Yes. Over time, the Company enhanced the core CSS products to meet evolving 11 customer and regulatory expectations. In 2001, the Company added the Customer 12 Relationship Management function to better integrate customer contact management. 13 Starting in 2005, PacifiCorp integrated the Mobile Workforce Management function 14 to improve field service coordination for customer requested work orders, and better 15 track net metering and customer generation data collection and billing compatibilities. 16 In 2018, the Company expanded the CSS to address web and mobile apps for 17 customers to manage their accounts, pay bills, and report outages. Also in 2018, 18 PacifiCorp added customer preferences and notification support to provide customer 19 communication channel preferences. 20 Q. Are there limits to the existing CSS? 21 Yes. Due to the age of the current CSS system and the need to meet evolving A. 22 customer expectations, CSS has reached its limits for performance, stability, security, 23 upgrades, and technical support. The current hardware and software prohibit

flexibility, integration, and forward adoption of new technologies. The IBM mainframes were invented and built to serve information technology (IT) needs in a pre-cellular phone and pre-widespread adoption of the internet business climate. Fast forward several decades, and the mainframes have limited ability to incorporate modern services, advanced rate structures, or technologies. Focusing on interval meter data specifically, CSS lacks the ability to store and process large amounts of interval data.

Q. Are there other limits to the existing CSS?

A.

Yes. First, I am concerned about the Company's ability to maintain the existing CSS given the shifting marketplace over the last decade from hardware and software physically located on the user's premise, to cloud or remote-based software and hardware. While my primary responsibility at the Company is customer service, not hiring IT professionals, I am aware that this shift means IT professionals will have skill sets that align with the current state of the industry, not mainframe software from the 1990's.

Finally, on limited occasions CSS became unresponsive due to high workloads and constraints of resources in the mainframe resulting from events, when they happen at the same time, such as large outages or higher call volume. This is of particular concern as the demands from customers for more access to information are increasing.

- Q. Are there any other details you would like to provide about the Company's CSS?
- 23 A. Yes. While CSS has been a durable and hard-working system for the last several

decades, it is time to replace and modernize the Company's IT system. The current system has mainframe capacity issues, requires unnecessary complexity in managing system interfaces, is beginning to experience performance problems, and often creates challenges to align support, patches, and enhancements across multiple vendors.

IV. DECISION TO UPDATE THE CURRENT CSS

Q. What lead the Company to decide to update its CSS?

A. The Company concluded that it was time to replace and update its CSS hardware and software for the reasons discussed above. The new CSS will be a modern system to replace existing functionality and provide the foundation to continually add new functionality to improve the customer experience over the life of the system.

Q. How did the Company select a vendor?

A.

PacifiCorp has several software systems that are reaching the end of their operational lives. Technological advancements and functionality needs are outpacing its ability to update outdated systems. As PacifiCorp was contemplating software system improvement plans, its parent company, Berkshire Hathaway Energy (BHE), determined that it could improve efficiencies across platforms by looking to standardize certain systems. PacifiCorp compared participation in the BHE effort versus stand-alone replacement and determined that participation in common enterprise systems was a prudent decision that will continue to improve PacifiCorp's cybersecurity protections, leverage aggregation to cost-effectively replace existing IT infrastructure that is reaching the end of its anticipated useful life, align systems and processes to create increased collaboration and flexibility of resources, meet customer expectations, and improve the customer experience over time.

1	Q.	What is the overall cost of the CSS update?
2	A.	The forecast project cost for implementation of the updated customer information
3		system is approximately \$154.7 million on a total-Company basis, which translates to
4		approximately \$42.4 million on an Oregon-allocated basis.
5	Q.	How will the new system improve the Company's CSS and benefit customers
6		over time?
7	A.	The new CSS system will be based on current technology platforms and include the
8		necessary functionality to effectively provide the service the Company's customers
9		expect. Example of short- and long-term benefits include:
10		• Improved customer experience by streamlining processes and systems;
11 12 13		 Ability to continually improve system functions, such as rate schedule billing, by configuration as opposed to more expensive customizations under the current CSS;
14 15		• Enhanced customer service processes that provide more accurate and timely resolution of customer service requests;
16 17		 Ability to assist customers with guided actions based on analytical customer data;
18 19 20 21		 Provide customers and employees with the capability to interact using the communication device of the customer's choice (text, email, phone, mail). All engagement channels will feel seamless when migrating from one to the other avoiding lost data or confusion for the customer;
22 23 24		 Include communication strategies integrated within solutions, minimizing manual intervention, and real-time assignment of work to increase efficiencies for employees and expedite successful outcomes for customers;
25 26 27		 Customers can choose to customize usage alerts through their choice of text, email, or phone when their energy usage may move them into a higher and more expensive tier;
28 29 30		• Updates outdated mainframe interfaces used by customer service agents to improve efficiency including faster insights to better serve customers and interact with field personnel:

	 Addresses inflexibility issues in current systems that requires expensive and time-consuming custom changes;
	 Addresses capacity and performance issues within the existing CSS ensuring system availability during high usage times (customer outages and events);
	• Configurable systems to decrease the time and cost required to implement future customer and regulatory requirements; and
	 Addresses manual complex billing issues, because complex bills (such as coincidental peak demand across multiple meters) cannot be calculated currently in CSS and are manually calculated—a labor intensive process that has the potential for human error.
Q.	What is the projected in-service date for the CSS replacement?
A.	The CSS replacement is currently projected to be in service in September 2024,
	though improvements and enhancements for efficiency and improved customer
	experience will continue after the initial in-service date.
	V. CONCLUSION
Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
A.	Updating the Company's CSS replaces an outdated system with current technology
	that will enable modern solutions to customer services support, customer
	correspondence, billing and settlement services, and customer relationship
	management, along with a foundation to efficiently assimilate new technologies and
	continually improve the customer experience. I recommend that the Public Utility
	Commission of Oregon include these costs in rates as prudent.
Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
A.	Yes.
	Q. A.