In accordance with ORS 469A.075 and OAR 860-083-0400, and Order 16-158, PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power (Company or PacifiCorp), respectfully submits its updated 2017 through 2021 Oregon Renewable Implementation Plan (2017-2021 Plan) to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). On December 29, 2015, PacifiCorp filed its initial 2017-2021 Plan. Subsequent to the Company's filing, the Oregon legislature passed Senate Bill 1547 (SB 1547) which, among other things, doubled the renewable targets for the Oregon Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS). In light of the increased RPS requirements and recent extensions of federal tax credits for wind and solar facilities, PacifiCorp signaled in its 2015 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) Update its intent to issue a request for proposals (RFP) to market for RPScompliance resources, including renewable energy certificates (RECs).

Given the changes in law, the Commission acknowledged PacifiCorp's initial 2017-2021 Plan but with the condition that PacifiCorp "file a new Plan that includes a complete analysis of SB 1547 and restarts the period for review."¹ Consistent with the Commission's directive, PacifiCorp has updated its 2017-2021 Plan to reflect the requested analysis. The updated 2017-2021 Plan reflects updated incremental cost calculations using current gas price forecasts and the modified REC banking provisions of SB 1547. Also included with the 2017-2021 Plan is Confidential Appendix A, which presents an in-depth analysis of the impact of SB 1547 on the Company's long-term RPS compliance outlook. This analysis affirms that long-term RPS compliance costs may be reduced with incremental near-term procurement, consistent with Action Item 1a in PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP Update. PacifiCorp issued renewable resource and REC RFPs on April 20, 2016 and is currently analyzing bids to identify whether near-term procurement opportunities will reduce RPS compliance costs. This competitive procurement process is on schedule to be complete in September 2016. Because PacifiCorp has not yet determined which near-term procurement opportunities will be pursued, if any, the resources identified for the Company's RPS compliance for the period covered by the 2017-2021 RPIP are not significantly changed from the RPIP filed in December 2015.

Summary

This 2017-2021 Plan shows that the Company intends to meet Oregon RPS targets during compliance years 2017-2021 with a combination of bundled RECs from existing Oregon-eligible renewable resources and resources under development that are anticipated to be Oregon RPS-eligible.

¹ In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power 2017-2021 Renewable Portfolio Standard Implementation Plan, Docket UM 1754, Order No. 16-158 at 1 (April 22, 2016).

The 2017-2021 Plan was prepared with information consistent with the Company's most recently filed Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) – the 2015 IRP and 2015 IRP Update, unless stated otherwise.² The Company's IRP process and its filed documentation are based on the best available information at the time the IRP was prepared. The Company's 2015 IRP Action Plan (2015 IRP Action Plan) represents a road map for implementation of the preferred portfolio. The 2015 IRP does not add any significant new renewable resources, beyond new qualifying facility (QF) projects, through the twenty year planning horizon ending 2034. With the recent extension of federal tax credits, the Company's 2015 IRP Update Action Plan includes an action item to issue RFPs seeking renewable resource bids and REC bids that can be used to meet state RPS targets. The RFPs were issued on April 20, 2016 and the competitive procurement process is on schedule to be completed in September 2016.

In the 2017-2021 Plan, the Company has included renewable resources that have been acquired or are under contract and have received Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE) certification to qualify as eligible for the Oregon RPS. The Plan also includes resources under development, which upon commercial operation, are anticipated to receive certification as eligible for the Oregon RPS under ORS 469A.025. The 2017-2021 Plan also assumes that all qualifying resources will be recertified with ODOE to maintain eligibility through the 2017-2021 reporting period. As shown in the 2017-2021 Plan, the existing qualifying resources and resources under development will enable the Company to meet the 2017-2021 Oregon RPS targets. The 2017-2021 Plan does not currently assume that the Company will purchase unbundled RECs to meet RPS targets in the 2017-2021 reporting period.

Similar to the Company's previous implementation plan² (the 2015-2019 Plan), the 2017-2021 Plan shows that for some of the eligible resources, the expected incremental costs are positive (costs higher than a proxy resource), while for other resources, the expected incremental costs are negative (costs less than a proxy resource). However, using the methodology established by Commission-adopted rules, the 2017-2021 Plan shows that the expected incremental costs do not trigger the four percent cost limit under ORS 469A.100.

Implementation Plan

The format used in the 2017-2021 Plan is to state each subsection of OAR 860-083-0400, followed by the Company's response to each of the stated subsections.

² The Company's 2015 IRP was filed with the Commission on March 31, 2015and the Company's 2015 IRP Update was filed on March 31, 2016, Docket LC 62.

² The Company's 2015-2019 Plan was filed with the Commission on December 26, 2013; an updated version was filed February 28, 2014, Docket UM 1681.

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(a)

The annual megawatt-hour target for compliance with the applicable renewable portfolio standard based on the forecast of electricity sales to its Oregon retail electricity customers.

Response: Table 1 below provides the estimated annual megawatt-hour (MWh) target for compliance, based on the Company's October 2015 load forecast.³

Table 1					
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Applicable RPS Standard as % of Electricity Sold	15%	15%	15%	20%	20%
Estimated PacifiCorp Oregon RPS Target (MWh)	1,958,763	1,909,419	1,921,934	1,936,852	2,576,755

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(b)

An accounting of the planned method to comply with the applicable renewable portfolio standard, including number of banked renewable energy certificates by year of issuance, the numbers of other bundled and unbundled renewable energy certificates, and alternative compliance payments.

Response: For the 2017-2021 Plan, the Company anticipates complying with the applicable Oregon RPS using bundled RECs. **Attachment A** provides an accounting of the RECs applicable to the Oregon RPS program. SB 1547 eliminated the unlimited life of RECs as well as the first in first out REC banking requirement. However, the bill also created an exception to the unlimited life restriction for long-term resources coming on-line between bill passage and the end of 2022—these resource's life. Because the Company has not yet identified which, if any, renewable resources or RECs will be procured during this time frame, the updated 2017-2021

³ Consistent with the 2015 IRP Update, for OAR 860-083-0400(2)(a) in this 2017-2021 Plan, the Company used the October 2015 load forecast.

Plan only reflects the removal of the first in first out restriction. The 2017-2021 Plan assumes that RECs with the shortest life will be used first before RECs from the existing (pre-2016) bank.

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(c)

Identification of generating facilities, either owned by the company or under contract, that are expected to provide renewable energy certificates for compliance with renewable portfolio standard. Information on each generating facility must include: (A) the renewable energy source; (B) the year the facility or contract became operational or is expected to become operational; (C) the state where the facility is located or is planned to be located; and (D) expected annual megawatt-hour output for compliance from the facility for the compliance years covered by the implementation plan.

Response: **Table 2** shows the generating facilities that have been certified by ODOE as eligible for the Oregon RPS program and resources that are under development and expected to be certified as eligible for the Oregon RPS program. The generating facilities, either owned by the Company or under contract, are expected to provide bundled RECs for compliance with the Oregon RPS during the 2017-2021 reporting period. However, there are additional generating facilities that may be eligible in the future, either Company owned or under contract.

Table 2 also lists the year the generating facilities became operational, or are expected to become operational, the energy source and the state where each facility is located. **Confidential Attachment B** provides Oregon's allocation of the expected annual MWh output for each resource.

Table 2			
Energy Source	Generating Facility	State	Commercial Operation Year
Biogas	Hill Air Force Base (PPA)		2005
Geothermal	Blundell II	UT	2007
Wind	Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA) Chevron Casper Wind Farm (PPA) Combine Hills (PPA) Dunlap I Foote Creek I *Foote Creek II (alenrock I Glenrock I Glenrock III Goodnoe Hills High Plains *Latigo Leaning Juniper I Marengo Marengo II McFadden Ridge Mountain Wind Power (PPA) Mountain Wind Power II (PPA) *Pioneer Wind Rock River I (PPA) Seven Mile Hill I Seven Mile Hill II Top of the World (PPA) Wolverine Creek (PPA)	WY WY OR WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY WY	2009 2009 2003 2010 1999 1999 2008 2009 2008 2009 2015 2006 2007 2008 2009 2008 2009 2008 2009 2008 2009 2008 2008
Hydro-Low Impact	Ashton Clearwater 1 Clearwater 2 Cutler Fish Creek Grace Lemolo 1 Lemolo 2 Oneida Prospect 3 Slide Creek Soda Soda Springs Toketee	ID OR OR UT OR ID OR OR ID OR OR OR OR	1917 1953 1953 1927 1952 1923 1955 1956 1915 1932 1951 1924 1952 1950

Table 2						
Energy Source	Generating Facility	State	Commercial Operation Year			
Hydro – Upgrades	Big Fork (Upgrade 2001) Copco 1 (Upgrade 1996) Cutler (Upgrade 2007) JC Boyle (Upgrade 2005) Lemolo 1 (Upgrade 2003) Lemolo 2 (Upgrade 2009) Oneida (Upgrade 2004) Pioneer (Upgrade 1999) Prospect 2 (Upgrade 1999) Prospect 3 (Upgrade 1997) Vala (Upgrade 1005/1006)	MT CA UT OR OR ID UT OR OR	1929 1918 1927 1958 1955 1956 1915 1897 1928 1932			
Oregon Solar Capacity Standard	Black Cap	OR	2012			

Table 2			
Energy Source	Generating Facility	State	Commercial Operation Year
Oregon Solar Incentive Program	*Bourdet II *Bourdet II *Conf. Tribes - Warm Springs (CTWS) *Crook County Solar Joseph Community Solar Lakeview *Lakeview II *Powell Butte Solar Solwatt *Solwatt II Aggregated Solar Block (CO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (CO 2) Aggregated Solar Block (CO 3) Aggregated Solar Block (CO 1) *Aggregated Solar Block (CR 1) *Aggregated Solar Block (CR 2) Aggregated Solar Block (EO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (EO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (EO 2) Aggregated Solar Block (FO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (FO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (FO 2) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 1) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 3) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 3) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 4) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 5) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 6) Aggregated Solar Block (SO 7) *Aggregated Solar Block (SO 10) *Aggregated Solar Block (SO 10) *Aggregated Solar Block (SO 10) *Aggregated Solar Block (SO 11) *Aggregated Solar Block (SO 11) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 1) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 1) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 2) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 3) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 4) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 3) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 4) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 4) Aggregated Solar Block (WV 7) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 7) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 8) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 8) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 7) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 7) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 8) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 8) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 8) *Aggregated Solar Block (WV 7)	OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR OR O	$\begin{array}{c} 2014\\ 2016\\ 2014\\ 2014\\ 2011\\ 2012\\ 2013\\ 2013\\ 2014\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2014\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2014\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2010\\ 2013\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2012\\ 2012\\ 2013\\ 2013\\ 2013\\ 2013\\ 2013\\ 2014\\ 2014\\ 2015\\ 2010\\ 2011\\ 2012\\ 2013\\ 2014\\ 2015\\ 2016-2017\\ \end{array}$
Solar	*Pavant Solar II	UT	2016

*Indicates resource has not been included in previous Oregon Implementation Plans.

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(d)

A forecast of the expected incremental costs of new qualifying electricity for facilities or contracts planned for first operation in the compliance year, consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0100.

Response: The 2017-2021 Plan includes a forecast of expected incremental costs of qualifying electricity from four new facilities/contracts⁴ and the Oregon Solar Incentive Program (OSIP),⁵ which have a cumulative capacity exceeding 50 megawatts. **Table 3** includes the forecasted incremental cost of these new resources, consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0100.⁶

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(e)

A forecast of the expected incremental cost of compliance, the costs of using unbundled renewable energy certificates and alternative compliance payments for compliance, compared to annual revenue requirements, consistent with the methodologies in OAR 860-083-0100 and 860-083-0200, absent consideration of the cost limit in OAR 860-083-0300.

Response: Confidential Attachment C provides an explanation of the key assumptions that the Company used to forecast the expected incremental costs of renewable resources during the 2017-2021 reporting period, consistent with OAR 860-083-0100 and Order No. 12-272 in docket UM 1570.

Table 3 shows the forecast of the expected incremental costs, on an Oregon-allocated basis, for the qualifying electricity for generating facilities or contracts in service after June 6, 2007. Low impact hydroelectric facilities and qualifying generating facilities

⁴ Latigo Wind – 60 MW (2015 COD), Pioneer Wind – 80 MW (2016 COD), and Pavant II Solar – 50 MW (2016 COD) are under development and anticipated to be qualifying Oregon RPS-eligible resources. Black Cap – 2 MW (2012 COD) is an existing certified Oregon RPS-eligible resource. Foote Creek II and Foote Creek III are not included in the incremental cost calculation, as these resources became operational before June 6, 2007.

⁵ To calculate the estimated incremental costs of the Oregon Solar Incentive Program, capacity added to the OSIP program in each year was treated as an individual resource.

⁶OAR 860-083-100(13)(b) states that "When the capacity of qualifying electricity described in subsection (13)(a) of this rule exceeds 20 megawatts in a compliance year or the cumulative capacity of qualifying electricity in subsection (13)(a) of this rule exceeds 50 megawatts, the incremental cost of all such qualifying electricity must be included in the compliance report for the compliance year and in compliance reports and implementation plans filed after such compliance report."

or contracts that went into service before June 6, 2007 are deemed to have zero incremental costs, pursuant to OAR 860-083-0100(1)(i).⁷

The forecast of expected incremental cost analysis uses Oregon's forecast system generation (SG) allocation factors from the October 2015 load forecast.

Using an updated December 2015 official forward price curve (OFPC) that was used as a base case in the 2015 IRP Update, **Table 3** below lists the incremental costs for each qualifying resource. Qualifying resources with a positive expected incremental cost represent costs higher than a proxy resource and negative costs (within brackets) represent a benefit relative to a proxy resource.

2017-2021 Summary Oregon Allocated Nominal Levelized Incremental Costs (\$000) For Specific Qualifying Resources							
2015 IRP U	J <mark>pdate Base C</mark>	ase - Decemb	er 2015 OFPC				
Resource	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021		
Blundell II	(\$773)	(\$775)	(\$772)	(\$764)	(\$762)		
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA)	\$1,497	\$1,500	\$1,495	\$1,480	\$1,476		
Dunlap I	\$346	\$346	\$345	\$342	\$341		
Glenrock I	\$540	\$541	\$539	\$534	\$532		
Glenrock III	\$311	\$311	\$310	\$307	\$306		
Goodnoe Hills	\$1,439	\$1,442	\$1,437	\$1,422	\$1,418		
High Plains	\$1,148	\$1,150	\$1,146	\$1,135	\$1,131		
McFadden Ridge	\$60	\$60	\$60	\$59	\$59		
Marengo	\$417	\$418	\$416	\$412	\$411		
Marengo II	\$393	\$394	\$393	\$389	\$388		
Mountain Wind Power (PPA)	\$249	\$249	\$248	\$246	\$245		
Mountain Wind Power II (PPA)	\$789	\$791	\$788	\$780	\$778		

Table 3

⁷OAR 860-083-0100(1)(h) states that "Incremental costs are deemed to be zero for qualifying electricity from generating facilities or contracts that became operational before June 6, 2007 and for certified low-impact hydroelectric facilities under ORS 469A.025(5)."

Table 3

2017-2021 Summary Oregon Allocated Nominal Levelized Incremental Costs (\$000) For Specific Qualifying Resources

Resource	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$259)	(\$260)	(\$259)	(\$256)	(\$255)
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$60)	(\$60)	(\$60)	(\$59)	(\$59)
Top of the World (PPA)	\$3,066	\$3,073	\$3,061	\$3,030	\$3,022
Pioneer Wind Park	(\$473)	(\$474)	(\$472)	(\$467)	(\$466)
Latigo Wind Park	\$655	\$657	\$654	\$648	\$646
Pavant II Solar	(\$302)	(\$303)	(\$301)	(\$299)	(\$298)
Black Cap Solar	\$104	\$104	\$104	\$104	\$104
OSIP 2010	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131
OSIP 2011	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265
OSIP 2012	\$811	\$811	\$811	\$811	\$811
OSIP 2013	\$958	\$958	\$958	\$958	\$958
OSIP 2014	\$614	\$614	\$614	\$614	\$614
OSIP 2015	\$231	\$231	\$231	\$231	\$231

2015 IRP Update Base Case - December 2015 OFPC

For comparative purposes, the Company is including in **Table 4** an additional sensitivity scenario based on the most recent OFPC dated March 2016.

Table 4								
2017-2021 Summary Oregon Allocated Nominal Levelized Incremental Costs (\$000) For Specific Qualifying Resources								
Addit	ional Scenario -	March 2016	OFPC					
Resource	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021			
Blundell II	(\$766)	(\$767)	(\$765)	(\$757)	(\$755)			
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA)	\$1,519	\$1,523	\$1,517	\$1,502	\$1,498			
Dunlap I	\$387	\$388	\$387	\$383	\$382			
Glenrock I	\$573	\$574	\$572	\$566	\$565			
Glenrock III	\$323	\$324	\$323	\$320	\$319			
Goodnoe Hills	\$1,463	\$1,466	\$1,460	\$1,446	\$1,442			
High Plains	\$1,180	\$1,182	\$1,177	\$1,166	\$1,162			
McFadden Ridge	\$68	\$69	\$68	\$68	\$67			
Marengo	\$445	\$446	\$444	\$440	\$439			
Marengo II	\$410	\$411	\$410	\$406	\$405			
Mountain Wind Power (PPA)	\$263	\$263	\$262	\$260	\$259			
Mountain Wind Power II (PPA)	\$807	\$808	\$805	\$797	\$795			
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$224)	(\$224)	(\$223)	(\$221)	(\$220)			
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$53)	(\$53)	(\$53)	(\$52)	(\$52)			
Top of the World (PPA)	\$3,115	\$3,121	\$3,109	\$3,079	\$3,070			
Pioneer Wind Park	(\$428)	(\$429)	(\$427)	(\$423)	(\$422)			
Latigo Wind Park	\$678	\$679	\$676	\$670	\$668			
Pavant II Solar	(\$282)	(\$283)	(\$282)	(\$279)	(\$278)			
Black Cap Solar	\$106	\$106	\$106	\$106	\$106			
OSIP 2010	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131			
OSIP 2011	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265			
OSIP 2012	\$812	\$812	\$812	\$812	\$812			

Table 4							
2017-2021 Summary Oregon Allocated Nominal Levelized Incremental Costs (\$000) For Specific Qualifying Resources Additional Scenario - March 2016 OFPC							
Resource	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021		
OSIP 2013	\$959	\$959	\$959	\$959	\$959		
OSIP 2014	\$615	\$615	\$615	\$615	\$615		
OSIP 2015	\$232	\$232	\$232	\$232	\$232		

Confidential Attachment D provides additional detail of the forecast of the expected incremental costs calculation, consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0100. The calculations are consistent with assumptions in the Company's 2015 IRP and 2015 IRP Update, as well as the additional sensitivity (Scenario 4) based on the March 2016 OFPC.

Tables 5 and 6 below show the forecast of the expected incremental cost of compliance, compared to the annual revenue requirement for each year in the 2017-2021 reporting period. **Table 5** is based on the incremental cost forecast from **Table 3** (the 2015 IRP Update Base Case – December 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve). **Table 6** is based on the incremental cost forecast from the additional sensitivity scenario shown in **Table 4** (March 2016 OFPC). The Company's 2017-2021 Plan does not forecast the use of alternative compliance payments at this time. The Oregon allocated nominal levelized incremental cost was calculated by using an average \$/MWh based on the incremental cost calculations for each resource, multiplied by the number of forecasted bundled RECs.

The annual revenue requirement was calculated consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0200. According to the rule, this methodology adjusts the last approved revenue requirement for forecasted load.⁸ These tables show that the four percent cost limit is not triggered. Actual cost of compliance may vary from the calculations shown below.

⁸ The Company used the most recently available load forecast: October 2015.

Table 5 Based on Table 3 Data (2015 IRP Update Base Case – December 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve)							
	Oregon All Incre	ocated Nomina mental Cost (\$	ıl Levelized 000s)	Annual Revenue Requirement (\$000s)	Cost as % Oregon Annual Revenue Requirement		
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			4% of Revenue Requirement	
2017	\$15,572	\$0	\$15,572	\$1,236,413	1.26%	\$49,457	
2018	\$15,735	\$0	\$15,735	\$1,245,552	1.26%	\$49,822	
2019	\$15,916	\$0	\$15,916	\$1,247,703	1.28%	\$49,908	
2020	\$21,085	\$0	\$21,085	\$1,244,920	1.69%	\$49,797	
2021	\$20,974	\$0	\$20,974	\$1,240,037	1.69%	\$49,601	

Table 6									
	Based on Table 4 Data (Sensitivity - March 2016 OFPC Fuel Curve)								
	Oregon All Incre	ocated Nomina emental Cost (\$	l Levelized 000s)	Annual Revenue Requirement (\$000s)	Cost as % Oregon Annual Revenue Requirement				
						4% of Revenue Requirement			
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total						
2017	\$16,073	\$0	\$16,073	\$1,236,413	1.30%	\$49,457			
2018	\$16,240	\$0	\$16,240	\$1,245,552	1.30%	\$49,822			
2019	\$16,427	\$0	\$16,427	\$1,247,703	1.32%	\$49,908			
2020	\$21,996	\$0	\$21,996	\$1,244,920	1.77%	\$49,797			
2021	\$22,049	\$0	\$22,049	\$1,240,037	1.78%	\$49,601			

OAR 860-083-0400(2)(f)

A forecast of the number and cost of bundled renewable energy certificates issued, consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0100.

Response: Attachment A provides the forecasted number of bundled RECs. Tables 5 and 6 above show the costs for the bundled RECs included in the 2017-2021 Plan.

OAR 860-083-0400(4)

If there are material differences in the planned actions in [OAR 860-083-0400(2)] of this rule from the action plan in the most recently filed or updated integrated resource plan by the electric company, or if conditions have materially changed from the conditions assumed in such filing, the company must provide sufficient documentation to demonstrate how the implementation plan appropriately balances risks and expected costs as required by the integrated resource planning guidelines in 1.b and c. of Commission Order No. 07-047 and subsequent guidelines related to implementation plans set forth by the Commission. Unless provided in the most recently filed or updated integrated resource plan, an implementation plan for an electric company subject to ORS 469A.052 must include the following information:

- (a) At least two forecasts for subsections (2)(d), (e), and (f) of this rule: one forecast assuming existing government incentives continue beyond their current expiration date and another forecast assuming existing government incentives do not continue beyond their current expiration date;
- (b) A reasonable range of estimates for the forecasts in subsections (2)(d), (e), and (f) of this rule, consistent with subsection (4)(a) of this rule and the analyses or methodologies in the company's most recently filed or updated integrated resource plan.

Response: The only material difference between the 2017-2021 Plan and the RPS Position Forecast included in the 2015 IRP⁹ are the following changes in qualifying resources:

- 2015 IRP included Bevans Point Solar (Solar Capacity Standard), unlike the 2017-2021 Implementation Plan; however, the Company did not complete the transaction with Bevans Point Solar.
- 2015 IRP included Blue Mountain which was subsequently terminated, unlike the 2017-2021 Implementation Plan.

⁹ See PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP – Figure 1.6 at page 5 – Annual State RPS Position Forecasts using the Preferred Portfolio, and pp. 53-55 of the 2015 IRP Update.

- 2015 IRP did not include Pavant II Solar, LLC, a qualifying facility (QF) contract executed on March 25, 2015.

For the 2017-2021 Plan filed on July 15, 2016, PacifiCorp has updated its generation forecasts.

- (a) As noted in Confidential Attachment C, the Company assumes that existing government incentives expire in accordance with their current expiration date. A separate forecast assuming existing government incentives continue beyond their current expiration date is not applicable as there are no applicable renewable resources currently included in the Company's 2015 IRP preferred portfolio during the 2017-2021 reporting period. Similarly, there are no applicable resources included in the Company's 2015 IRP Update resource portfolio during the 2017-2021 reporting period. Accordingly, the Company's forecast of expected incremental cost analysis, whether or not existing government incentives continue beyond their current expiration date, would be identical.
- (b) Confidential Attachment D includes a range of forecasts for expected incremental costs. The summary results for the December 2015 OFPC are shown in Table 3 of this document. Confidential Attachment D also includes the additional sensitivity scenario for the March 2016 OFPC, and the summary results are shown in Table 4 of this document.

OAR 860-083-0400(5)

Under the following circumstances, the electric company must, for the applicable compliance year, provide sufficient documentation or citations to demonstrate how the implementation plan appropriately balances risks and expected costs as required by the integrated resource planning guidelines in 1.b. and c. of Commission Order No. 07-047 and subsequent guidelines related to implementation plans set forth by the Commission.

- (a) The sum of costs in subsection (2)(e) of this rule is expected to be four percent or more of the annual revenue requirement in subsection (2)(e) of this rule for any compliance year covered by the implementation plan,
- (b) The company plans, for reasons other than to meet unanticipated contingencies that arise during a compliance year, to use any of the following compliance methods: (A) Unbundled renewable energy certificates; (B) Bundled renewable energy certificates issued between January 1 through March 31 of the year following the compliance year; or (C) Alternative compliance payments, or
- (c) The company plans to sell any bundled renewable energy certificates included in the rates of Oregon retail electricity consumers.

Response:

- (a) This requirement is not applicable at this time since the sum of the costs in subsection (2)(e) above are not expected to exceed four percent of the annual revenue requirement in any compliance year that is reported in the Company's 2017-2021 Plan.
- (b) For the 2017 through 2021 reporting period, the Company expects to comply with the Oregon RPS requirements by using bundled RECs. At this time, the Company does not intend to use (A) unbundled RECs; (B) bundled RECs issued between January 1 through March 31 of the year following the compliance year; or (C) alternative compliance payments.

As stated in PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP Update, the Company has issued RFPs seeking bids for near-term procurement opportunities that may reduce RPS compliance costs. The RFPs were issued on April 20, 2016 and the competitive procurement process is on schedule to be completed in September 2016. While PacifiCorp's 2017-2021 Plan does not include the use of unbundled RECs in the 2017-2021 period, the Company is currently evaluating RFP proposals, including

bids for unbundled RECs, that could qualify for Oregon RPS compliance. If the Company does choose to procure near-term unbundled or bundled RECs for Oregon RPS, consistent with the analysis presented in Confidential Appendix A, PacifiCorp will evaluate the tradeoffs between acquiring bankable RECs early as a means to mitigate potentially higher cost long-term compliance alternatives. This will balance risks and expected costs as required by the IRP guidelines in 1.b. and c. of Commission Order No. 07-047 and subsequent guidelines related to implementation plans set forth by the Commission.

(c) This requirement is not applicable at this time because the Company's plan does not include the sale of bundled Oregon-allocated RECs from RPS eligible renewable resources included in the rates of Oregon customers.

OAR 860-083-0400(6)

An implementation plan must provide a detailed explanation of how the implementation plan complies, or does not comply, with any conditions specified in a Commission acknowledgement order on the previous implementation plan and any relevant conditions specified in the most recent acknowledgement order on an integrated resource plan filed or updated by the electric company.

Response: In Order 14-267 in docket UM 1681, the Commission acknowledged PacifiCorp's 2015-2019 Plan with the following two conditions for the 2017-2021 Plan and subsequent Plans:

- □ Include a "non-confidential summary of RPS total incremental costs for each scenario analyzed...."¹⁰
 - Attachment E provides a summary of the RPS incremental costs by resource for each scenario and Attachment F provides a summary of the RPS total incremental costs for each scenario analyzed in the 2017-2021 Implementation Plan.
- □ Include "in subsequent [implementation plans] a scenario that uses the base case price curve assumptions (medium gas and medium CO₂ prices) similar to that used in the other scenarios in the [implementation plan], with the assumption the Company maximizes the use of unbundled RECs for each year analyzed in the [implementation plan] and assuming an unbundled REC price

¹⁰ In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, Renewable Portfolio Standard Implementation Plan 2015-2019, Docket UM 1681, Order 14-267 at Appendix A (July 22, 2014).

equal to the weighted average price paid for unbundled RECs used for compliance in their last compliance filing."¹¹

Table 7 below provides a sensitivity for the base case scenario (December 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve) that maximizes the use of unbundled RECs in each year of the Plan. For this scenario, the Company is assumes the purchase of unbundled RECs at \$0.73 per REC, consistent with PacifiCorp's 2014 RPS Compliance Report filed in Docket UM 1739.¹²

Table 7

Additional Sensitivity Scenario – Maximum Use of Unbundled RECs (December 2015 OFPC Base Case)

	Oregon Allocated Nominal Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000s)			Annual Revenue Requirement (\$000s)	Cost as % Oregon Annual Revenue Requirement	
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			4% of Revenue Requirement
2017	\$12,457	\$280	\$12,738	\$1,236,413	1.03%	\$49,457
2018	\$12,588	\$282	\$12,870	\$1,245,552	1.03%	\$49,822
2019	\$12,733	\$284	\$13,018	\$1,247,703	1.04%	\$49,908
2020	\$16,868	\$378	\$17,247	\$1,244,920	1.39%	\$49,797
2021	\$16,779	\$377	\$17,156	\$1,240,037	1.38%	\$49,601

There were no conditions specified in the Commission's acknowledgment order of the 2013 IRP specific to the Oregon RPS Implementation Plan.¹³ The Company's 2015 IRP is ongoing and is pending Commission acknowledgement.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² Refer to PAC OR 2017-2021 RPIP – Unbundled RECs Workpaper – CONFIDENTIAL – Refiled July 15, 2016.

¹³ In the Matter of PacifiCorp 2013 Integrated Resource Plan, Docket LC 57, Order 14-252 (July 8, 2014).

OAR 860-083-0400(7)

If there are funds in holding accounts under ORS 469A.180(4) and if the electric company has not filed a proposal for expending such funds for the purposes allowed under ORS 469A.180(5), the implementation plan must include the electric company's plans for expending or holding such funds. If the plan is to hold such funds, the plan should indicate under what conditions such funds should be expended.

Response: The Company does not have any funds in holding accounts authorized in accordance with ORS 469A.180(4). Accordingly, this requirement is not applicable at this time.

OAR 860-083-0400(9)

(a) Each electric company must post on its website the public portion of its most recent implementation plan under this rule within 30 days after a Commission acknowledgement order has been issued, including any conditions specified by the Commission under ORS 469.075(3).

(b) Each electric company must provide a copy of the public portions of the most recently filed implementation plan to any person upon request, until the Commission has issued an acknowledgement order on such plan.

Response: The Company will post the 2017-2021 Plan on its website within 30 days after a Commission acknowledgement order is issued. The Company will provide the public portions of the 2017-2021 Plan to any persons upon request.

OAR 860-083-0400(10)

Consistent with Commission orders for disclosure under OAR 860-038-0300, each electric company must provide information about the implementation plan to its customers by bill insert or other Commission-approved method. The information must be provided within 90 days of final action by the Commission on the plan or coordinated with the next available insert required under 860-038-0300. The information must include the URL address for the implementation plan posted under subsection (9)(a) of this rule.

Response: In compliance with OAR 860-038-0300, the Company will provide information about the 2017-2021 Plan to its customers via bill inserts within 90 days of the final action by the Commission.

Oregon Solar Capacity Standard OAR 860-084-0080

Each electric company must incorporate its plan to achieve, or exceed, and maintain the minimum solar photovoltaic capacity standards specified in OAR 860-084-0020 into its renewable portfolio standard implementation plans filed pursuant to OAR 860-083-0400

Response: In October 2012 the Company acquired the 2.0 MW_{AC} Black Cap Solar project in Lakeview, Oregon, to contribute to PacifiCorp's 8.7 MW_{AC} minimum obligation under the solar photovoltaic capacity standard. In April 2013, PacifiCorp issued a second RFP and as a result finalized a 25-year power purchase agreement for Old Mill Solar, a 5.0 MW_{AC} project located in Bly, Oregon which is now operational. However, the passage of SB 1547 in March 2016 eliminated the solar capacity standard set forth by ORS 757.370(1).

CONFIDENTIAL APPENDIX A

1. A discussion of the differences between SB 838 (i.e. ORS 469A.005 to ORS 469A.210) and SB 1547, with supporting analysis demonstrating the impacts of those differences on utility planning and operations decisions 2017-2040.

The most prominent difference between SB 838 and SB 1547 is the change in renewable portfolio standard targets. Table A-1 lists RPS targets for SB 838 and SB 1547.

 Table A-1. Oregon RPS Targets

	SB 838	SB 1547
RPS Target as % of Retail Sales	2016-2019 = 15% 2020-2024 = 20% >2024 = 25%	2016-2019 = 15% $2020-2024 = 20%$ $2025-2029 = 27%$ $2030-2034 = 35%$ $2035-2039 = 45%$ $>2039 = 50%$

Further, SB 1547 contains provisions that affect renewable energy credit (REC) accounting and usage. Specifically, SB 1547 introduces the following REC accounting and usage provisions¹:

- RECs generated before March 8, 2016 have an unlimited life.
- RECs generated during the first five years for long-term projects coming online between March 8, 2016 and December 31, 2022 have an unlimited life ("Golden RECs").²
- RECs generated on or after March 8, 2016 from resources that came online before March 8, 2016 expire five years beyond the year the REC was generated.
- RECs generated beyond the first five years for long-term projects coming online between March 8, 2016 and December 31, 2022 expire five years beyond the year the REC is generated.
- RECs generated from projects coming online after December 31, 2022 expire five years beyond the year the REC is generated.
- Banked RECs can be surrendered in any compliance year regardless of vintage (eliminates the "first-in, first-out" provision under SB 838).

Figure A-1 summarizes PacifiCorp's forecasted Oregon RPS compliance position under SB 838 assuming no further procurement of RECs through 2040. This forecast assumes end-of-life retirement of existing renewable resources and that existing power purchase agreements that terminate over the compliance horizon are not extended. Under SB 838, PacifiCorp

¹ REC accounting and usage provisions apply to both bundled and unbundled RECs.

² A "long-term" project is a resource that has a life or power purchase agreement term of at least 20-years.

would surrender its oldest vintage RECs from its bank (i.e., green bars) and experience an initial compliance shortfall (i.e., red bars) in 2026 if no further procurement were pursued.



Figure A-1. PacifiCorp SB 838 Compliance Position without Procurement*

Figure A-2 summarizes the same information under SB 1547. Under SB 1547, PacifiCorp would begin using RECs that expire five years beyond the vintage year (i.e., blue bars) before using older vintage RECs from the bank (green bars), which do not expire. Given the higher RPS targets in SB 1547, PacifiCorp will experience a relative small initial compliance shortfall in 2025—one year earlier than under SB 838.



Figure A-2. PacifiCorp SB 1547 Compliance Position without Procurement

The most notable impact of SB 1547 is the increased need driven by higher targets. Under SB 838, PacifiCorp's 2040 shortfall without further procurement is approximately 3,236

GWh, which equates to about 1,064 MW of renewable resource capacity operating at a 35% capacity factor. Under SB 1547, PacifiCorp's 2040 shortfall without further procurement is approximately 6,639 GWh, which equates to about 2,165 MW of renewable resource capacity operating at a 35% capacity factor.

As described above, REC accounting provisions under SB 1547 apply a REC life to certain RECs. The REC life provisions do not influence PacifiCorp's baseline compliance position under SB 1547 as compared to SB 838. With elimination of the "first-in, first-out" provision in SB 838, RECs that would otherwise expire five years beyond the vintage year under SB 1547 can be surrendered well before they expire. Significant early procurement would be required before RECs would begin to expire. Annual procurement of bundled RECs from over 1,000 MW of existing wind facilities operating at a 35% capacity factor, which would defer PacifiCorp's compliance need to beyond 2040, could be added to PacifiCorp's portfolio beginning 2018 without any of the RECs expiring before being surrendered for compliance.

2. An analysis of these aspects of SB 1547: its elimination of the "first in, first out" requirement, its creation of unlimited renewable energy credit (REC) life status for the first five years of new resources acquired between 2016-2022, its shortening of the standard REC life, and steep compliance rate increase between 2025 and 2030. In particular, the analysis should address how these aspects of SB 1547 affect how the utility plans to optimize the mix of compliance RECs for least cost and lowest risk.

The REC accounting and usage provisions of SB 1547 cannot be considered in isolation. For instance, REC life provisions causing certain RECs to expire five years beyond the vintage year, could limit early procurement activity. However, this is greatly mitigated by eliminating the "first-in, first-out" requirement. As discussed above, PacifiCorp could procure annually the RECs from over 1,000 MW of existing wind facilities operating at a 35% capacity factor beginning in 2018 without any of the RECs expiring before being surrendered for compliance. Likewise, the provisions under SB 1547 that allow unlimited banking of certain RECs from long-term projects coming online between March 8, 2016 and December 31, 2022 will not significantly influence procurement plans.

Figure A-3 shows PacifiCorp's RPS compliance position with procurement of 792 MW of wind operating at a 35% capacity factor in 2018 assuming the project qualifies for "Golden RECs" over the first five years of operation (i.e., from 2018, the vintage year, through 2023). This level of procurement, when accounting for banking, meets PacifiCorp's RPS obligations through 2040. In this case, "Golden RECs" are banked to preserve their use for a later time period. The oldest RECs surrendered in any compliance year under this scenario are two years older than the vintage year.



Figure A-3. PacifiCorp Compliance with 2018 Procurement Qualifying for "Golden RECs"

Figure A-4 shows the same compliance profile assuming the acquired resource(s) do not produce RECs that qualify as "Golden RECs". The same level of capacity is added, which achieves the same level of compliance through 2040. The impact of procuring a resource that does not qualify for "Golden RECs" is in how the bank is managed over time. In this case, older vintage RECs (i.e., green bars) are pulled from the bank earlier, to ensure that they can be used before they expire. The oldest RECs surrendered in this scenario are four years older than the vintage year.



Figure A-4. PacifiCorp Compliance with 2018 Procurement without "Golden RECs"

As discussed above, SB 1547 has higher RPS targets, which increases the procurement need over time. Table A-2 summarizes the amount of renewable capacity needed to meet targets under SB 838 and SB 1547 at different time intervals assuming a 35% capacity factor and a just-in-time compliance strategy (i.e., procurement is deferred until the existing bank is depleted).

	SB 838 (Cumulative MW)	SB 1547 (Cumulative MW)
2025	0	62
2030	536	963
2035	683	1,558
2040	1,064	2,165

 Table A-2. Procurement Needs over Time (Assuming 35% Capacity Factor)

3. A discussion of how the timing of new renewable resource acquisitions impact long term cost of compliance with RPS to ratepayers with supporting analysis demonstrating these differences in timing. Under what conditions does the least cost/lowest risk strategy to satisfy the RPS compliance requirements of SB 1547 from 2017 through 2040 lead to new resource acquisition prior to a physical need and how will the utility evaluate this decision? PacifiCorp should provide a "tipping-point" analysis that depicts when physical resource acquisition is more cost effective than buying unbundled RECs.

The timing of renewable resource and/or REC procurement and its impact on the long-term cost of compliance, as measured by revenue requirement, is driven by the cost and volume of near-term procurement opportunities in relation to forecasted, longer-term needs and cost. Early action procurement can be used to build a bank and defer longer-term procurement. If near-term procurement can defer higher cost longer-term procurement needs, then customers benefit. Conversely, if one expects long-term procurement costs will be lower than near-term procurement opportunities, then early action may not be warranted. Inter-temporal RPS compliance scenarios can be used to evaluate these tradeoffs.

Three different just-in-time compliance scenarios serve as the benchmark for this intertemporal analysis. Under these scenarios, it is assumed that a just-in-time compliance strategy is implemented, whereby procurement of qualifying resources occurs when there is a physical compliance need.

Table A-3 summarizes resource cost assumptions for the three different just-in-time compliance scenarios. Each scenario assumes progressively lower future costs for wind and solar resources. In Scenario JIT-1, 2018 capital cost, 2018 operations & maintenance (O&M) cost, and capacity factor assumptions are consistent with data presented in PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP Update. It is assumed that wind costs grow at an annual inflation rate

of 2.3% per year. Considering that solar PV costs have been declining more steeply than wind resource costs, it is assumed that technological advancements in solar PV projects offset inflation, but that O&M costs grow with inflation over time.

Cost assumptions for Scenarios JIT-2 and JIT-3 are derived from projected potential cost declines through 2025 as published in a recent report issued by the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA).³ The IRENA report discusses potential for solar PV and onshore wind investment costs to decline, in real terms, by 57% and 12%, respectively, by 2025.⁴ In Scenario JIT-2, it is assumed that half of the potential cost declines identified in the IRENA report are achieved by 2025 and that O&M costs for both wind and solar projects grow at 2.0% per year, slightly lower than the assumed 2.3% annual inflation rate. In Scenario JIT-3, it is assumed that costs for wind and solar decline consistent with rates reported by IRENA. Future resource costs in JIT-3 are lower than JIT-2, and future resource costs in JIT-2 are lower than JIT-1.

Variable	Scenario JIT-1	Scenario JIT-2*	Scenario JIT-3*
OR Wind CapEx (2018\$/kW)	\$1,826	\$1,792	\$1,757
WY Wind CapEx (2018\$/kW)	\$1,895	\$1,860	\$1,823
Wind ConEy Ann Ego Data	2 20/	1.7% through 2025,	1.0% through 2025,
wind Capex Ann. Esc. Kate	2.5%	then 0.0%	then 0.0%
Wind O&M (2018\$/kW-yr)	\$40.19	\$38.80	\$38.80
Wind O&M Ann. Esc. Rate	2.3%	2.0%	2.0%
OR Wind Capacity Factor	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
WY Wind Capacity Factor	43.0%	43.0%	43.0%
OR Solar PV CapEx	\$2.420	\$2.252	\$2.010
(2018\$/kW)	\$2,429	\$2,552	\$2,019
UT Solar PV CapEx	\$2.318	\$2.244	\$1.027
(2018\$/kW)	\$2,518	\$2,244	\$1,927
Solar CanEx Ann Esc. Rate	0.0%	-1.1% through 2025,	-6.0% through 2025,
Solar CapEx Ann. Esc. Rate	0.078	then 0.0%	then 0.0%
Solar PV O&M (2018\$/kW-yr)	\$20.93	\$20.81	\$20.81
Solar PV O&M Ann. Esc. Rate	2.3%	2.0%	2.0%
OR Solar PV Capacity Factor	29.2%	29.2%	29.2%
UT Solar PV Capacity Factor	31.6%	31.6%	31.6%
Solar PV Annual Degradation	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%

Table A-3. Just-in-Time Scenario Proxy Resource Cost Assumptions

* Wind and solar capital annual escalation assumptions for Scenarios JIT-2 and JIT-3 are based on potential cost declines reported by IRENA in real terms. The rates shown in this table are nominal, assuming a 2.3% inflation rate.

As noted earlier, without any incremental procurement, an initial Oregon RPS compliance shortfall is projected to occur in 2025. Procurement for the just-in-time compliance scenarios targets qualifying renewable resources that can avoid incremental transmission upgrade

³ International Renewable Energy Agency. (2016). *The Power to Change: Solar and Wind Cost Reduction Potential to 2025*: <u>http://www.irena.org/menu/index.aspx?mnu=Subcat&PriMenuID=36&CatID=141&SubcatID=2733</u> ⁴ *Id.*

costs, if possible. Table A-4 summarizes assumed just-in-time renewable resource procurement limits based on availability of transmission in high-potential renewable resource areas that would open up with future coal unit retirements. Assumed coal unit retirement dates are consistent with planning assumptions used in PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP Update (through 2034) and/or align with current non-Oregon depreciable lives (beyond 2034).

Period	Resource	Limit (MW)	Third Party Wheel
2025-2027	OR Wind	400 (Pre-retirements)	BPA
2025-2027	OR Solar	250 (Pre-retirements)	None
≥ 2028	WY Wind	760 (DJ Retirement)	None
≥ 2030	UT Solar	450 (Huntington 2 Retirement)	None
≥ 2033	UT Solar	+466 (Hunter 2 Retirement)	None
≥ 2036	UT Solar	+459 (Huntington 1 Retirement)	None
≥ 2040	WY Wind	+268 (Wyodak Retirement)	None

Table A-4. Just-in-Time Scenario Proxy Resource Procurement Limits

In each of the just-in-time compliance scenarios, renewable resources are added beginning 2025 and revenue requirement is calculated for incremental renewable resources added to achieve compliance through 2040, consistent with the cost assumptions outlined above. Revenue requirement includes return on and return of capital, taxes, run-rate operating costs, integration costs, third-party wheeling costs, as applicable, net of energy and capacity benefits.

Table A-5 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-5 for Scenario JIT-1. The present value revenue requirement for the JIT-1 renewable resource portfolio yields customer costs totaling \$807 million. Much of this cost is driven by high cost resources needed in 2025 and 2026—before lower cost renewable resources can be procured without incremental transmission costs after assumed coal unit retirement dates.

Tuble 11 of 11 by Renewable Resources in Sechario 011 1			
Resource	MW	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)	
OR Solar 2025	250	\$39.92	
OR Wind 2026	341	\$45.25	
WY Wind 2030	416	\$20.19	
UT Solar 2035	737	\$15.81	
UT Solar 2040	371	\$12.98	
Total	2,116	\$26.71	

Table A-5. Proxy Renewable Resources in Scenario JIT-1



Figure A-5. PacifiCorp Compliance for Scenario JIT-1

Table A-6 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-6 for Scenario JIT-2. With projected cost declines in future resources, the net cost of these resources is lower than in Scenario JIT-1. However, resources in the 2025-2026 timeframe remain higher cost than longer-term alternatives. The present value revenue requirement for the JIT-2 renewable resource portfolio yields customer costs totaling \$529 million.

Resource	MW	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
OR Solar 2025	250	\$30.59
OR Wind 2026	341	\$38.86
WY Wind 2030	416	\$9.93
WY Wind 2035	344	\$4.04
UT Solar 2036	305	\$5.80
UT Solar 2040	412	\$2.10
Total	2,068	\$17.09

 Table A-6. Proxy Renewable Resources in Scenario JIT-2



Figure A-6. PacifiCorp Compliance for Scenario JIT-2

Table A-7 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-7 for Scenario JIT-3. In this case, steeper projected cost declines for future resources yield customer benefits for certain projects. It is assumed that these resources are added on a system basis with costs and benefits shared among PacifiCorp's states. Consequently, for these resources, approximately 25% of the assumed resource potential is allocated to meeting the Oregon RPS targets. As there is not sufficient availability to achieve the target in 2025 without incremental transmission, the 2025 solar resource added in Utah includes incremental network transmission upgrade costs.⁵ The present value revenue requirement for the JIT-3 renewable resource portfolio yields customer a customer cost of \$2.5 million.

Resource	MW	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
OR Solar 2025	62	(\$0.55)
UT Solar 2025*	477	\$12.66
UT Solar 2030	499	(\$12.68)
WY Wind 2030	126	\$6.92
WY Wind 2035	524	\$1.04
UT Solar 2040	113	(\$24.68)
WY Wind 2040	262	(\$1.48)
Total	2 068	\$0.08

 Table A-7. Proxy Renewable Resources in Scenario JIT-3

*Includes \$218m for assumed network upgrade costs.

⁵ Given the sharper cost declines assumed in Scenario JIT-3, UT solar in 2025, with incremental transmission, is lower cost than adding OR wind, with a BPA wheel, in 2025.



Figure A-7. PacifiCorp Compliance for Scenario JIT-3

In the near-term, procurement of resources and/or RECs can defer longer-term procurement needs. Table A-8 summarizes near-term REC and resource cost assumptions used to analyze the inter-temporal trade-off between near-term and long-term procurement strategies. These data are reasonably consistent with offers submitted into PacifiCorp's 2016 renewable resource and REC request for proposals. For resource opportunities, the cost (i.e., PPA price, acquisition cost, operating cost, integration cost, transmission cost, as applicable) net of benefits (i.e., energy and capacity value) is comparable to a REC price. The assumed near-term opportunities are ordered from lowest to highest cost.

Туре	REC (GWh)	Resource Size (MW)	Term	Nom. Lev. Cost (\$/MWh)	Nom. Lev. (Benefit) (\$/MWh)	Net Nom. Lev. Cost or (Benefit) (\$/MWh)
			2017-2036			
			2017-2036			
			2018-2037			
			2018-2037			
			2017-2036			
			2018-2047			
			2018-2037			

Table A-8. Near-Term Resource and REC Procurement Assumptions

The potential benefit of near-term procurement can be analyzed by assuming different levels of near-term procurement, progressing from the lowest cost to highest cost opportunities, relative to the just-in-time compliance scenarios outlined earlier. For the inter-temporal analysis, it is assumed that near-term procurement is pursued at three different intervals—opportunities with a net nominal levelized cost at or below **MWh**, at or below

/MWh, and below //MWh. In each near-term procurement scenario, long-term procurement is deferred when targeting compliance through 2040.

Table A-9 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-8 for the *MWh* near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-1. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2032. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$476 million, which is \$331 million lower cost than the JIT-1 Scenario.

Table A-9. Renewable Resources with	/MWh Near-Term Procurement under the
JIT-1 Scenario	

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
UT Solar 2032	450 MW	\$20.39
WY Wind 2032	208 MW	\$20.58
UT Solar 2035	466 MW	\$15.81
WY Wind 2035	417 MW	\$21.23
UT Solar 2040	459 MW	\$12.98
WY Wind 2040	147 MW	\$27.72
Total		

Figure A-8. PacifiCorp Compliance with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-1 Scenario



Table A-10 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve the Oregon RPS compliance outcome shown in Figure A-9 for the //MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-1. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2037. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$405 million, which is \$402 million lower cost than the JIT-1 Scenario.

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)	
UT Solar 2037	1,375 MW	\$13.65	
WY Wind 2038	291 MW	\$24.06	
WY Wind 2040	475 MW	\$27.72	
Total			

 Table A-10. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-1 Scenario

Figure A-9. PacifiCorp Compliance with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-1 Scenario



Table A-11 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve the Oregon RPS compliance outcome shown in Figure A-10 for the //MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-1. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2040. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$121 million, which is \$686 million lower cost than the JIT-1 Scenario.



 Table A-11. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-1 Scenario

Figure A-10. PacifiCorp Compliance with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-1 Scenario



Table A-12 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-11 for the MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-2. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2032. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$156 million, which is \$373 million lower cost than the JIT-2 Scenario.

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
WY Wind 2032	540 MW	\$7.65
WY Wind 2035	220 MW	\$4.04
UT Solar 2035	732 MW	\$7.45
WY Wind 2040	268 MW	\$1.68
UT Solar 2040	214 MW	\$4.25
Total		

 Table A-12. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-2 Scenario

Figure A-11. PacifiCorp Compliance with MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-2 Scenario



Table A-13 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-12 for the M/MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-2. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2037. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$87 million, which is \$442 million lower cost than the JIT-2 Scenario.

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)	
WY Wind 2037	760 MW	\$2.21	
UT Solar 2038	927 MW	\$4.78	
WY Wind 2040	268 MW	\$1.68	
UT Solar 2040	15 MW	\$4.25	
Total			

Table A-13. Renewable Resources with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-2 Scenario

Figure A-12. PacifiCorp Compliance with MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-2 Scenario



Table A-14 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-13 for the //MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-2. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2040. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$118 million, which is \$411 million lower cost than the JIT-2 Scenario.

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
WY Wind 2040	37 MW	\$1.68
Total		

 Table A-14. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-2 Scenario

Figure A-13. PacifiCorp Compliance with MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-2 Scenario



Table A-15 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-14 at the MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-3. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2032. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario yields a \$102 million customer benefit, which is a \$105 million higher benefit than the JIT-3 Scenario.

Table A-15. Renewable Resources with	/MWh Near-Term Procurement under the
JIT-3 Scenario	

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
UT Solar 2032	111 MW	(\$15.75)
OR Solar 2032	62 MW	(\$12.86)
UT Solar 2032*	568 MW	\$1.64
UT Solar 2035	297 MW	(\$20.18)
WY Wind 2035	542 MW	\$1.04
UT Solar 2040	115 MW	(\$24.68)
WY Wind 2040	400 MW	(\$1.48)
Total		

*Includes \$256m for assumed network upgrade costs.

Figure A-14. PacifiCorp Compliance with MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-3 Scenario



Table A-16 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-15 at the MWh near-term procurement level and costs as defined under Scenario JIT-3. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2037. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario yields a \$110 million customer benefit, which is a \$112 million higher benefit than the JIT-3 Scenario.

JIT-3 Scenario		
Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
UT Solar 2037	339 MW	(\$22.70)
OR Solar 2037	62 MW	(\$20.93)
UT Solar 2037*	750 MW	(\$7.96)
WY Wind 2038	671 MW	(\$1.15)

 Table A-16. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-3 Scenario

*Includes \$289m for assumed network upgrade costs.

Total

Figure A-15. PacifiCorp Compliance with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-3 Scenario



Table A-17 summarizes the renewable resource additions added to achieve Oregon RPS compliance as shown in Figure A-16 at the //MWh near-term procurement level and long-term costs as defined under Scenario JIT-3. In this case, near-term procurement defers long-term procurement from 2025 to 2040. The present value revenue requirement for this scenario is \$108 million, which is \$106 million higher cost than the JIT-3 Scenario.

Туре	MW or RECs	Nom. Lev. Net Cost/(Benefit) (\$/MWh)
UT Solar 2040	50 MW	(\$24.68)
Total		

 Table A-17. Renewable Resources with
 /MWh Near-Term Procurement under the

 JIT-3 Scenario

Figure A-16. PacifiCorp Compliance with //MWh Near-Term Procurement under the JIT-3 Scenario



	JIT-1 Scenario	JIT-2 Scenario	JIT-3 Scenario
MWh Scenario	(\$331)	(\$373)	(\$105)
MWh Scenario	(\$402)	(\$442)	(\$112)
MWh Scenario	(\$686)	(\$411)	\$106

Table A-18. PVRR(d) Cost/(Benefit) of Near-Term Procurement Among JIT Scenarios (\$ Million)

This analysis suggests, with the assumed range in future costs and near-term procurement cost and volume assumptions, that near-term procurement can lower RPS compliance costs over the long-term. Near-term procurement with a net cost above //MWh may increase customer costs if future resource costs experience relatively steep declines. Competitively priced near-term procurement opportunities that can defer the need for future renewable resources until the 2028-2030 timeframe are most likely to yield customer benefits. Deferring future procurement to this timeframe provides an opportunity to align procurement of lower cost renewable resources with coal unit retirements, thereby avoiding incremental transmission upgrade costs.

While the above analysis assumes varying levels of near-term bundled REC procurement, unbundled RECs can also be used to satisfy Oregon RPS targets. The Oregon RPS rules allow up to 20% of the compliance mix to be comprised of unbundled RECs in any given compliance year.⁶ Unbundled RECs can be banked under the same rules as are applicable to bundled RECs, and therefore, unbundled RECs can be banked for use in a future compliance year if the 20% limit is reached.

PacifiCorp could acquire over 1,250,000 unbundled RECs annually, assuming these qualify as "Golden RECs" for the first five years, over a 20-year term without having any of the unbundled RECs expire before being used for compliance through 2040. Figure A-17 summarizes this hypothetical compliance profile, which shows a compliance shortfall in 2030. However, the unbundled REC bank is carried forward and used for compliance through 2040.

⁶ The restriction on use of unbundled RECs does not apply to unbundled RECs procured from a qualifying facility project located in Oregon.



Figure A-17. Hypothetical Compliance Using Unbundled RECs that Qualify as Golden RECs

Figure A-18 shows a similar hypothetical compliance profile assuming none of the unbundled RECs qualify as "Golden RECs". In this case, PacifiCorp could procure over 900,000 unbundled RECs annually over a 20-year term (18 million total unbundled RECs) without having any of the unbundled RECs expire before being used for compliance through 2040.

Figure A-18. Hypothetical Compliance Using Unbundled RECs that Qualify as Golden RECs



4. A discussion of how key market assumptions impact the relative range of risk and uncertainty related to cost over the compliance horizon. Load growth, hydroelectric

generation, project cost, natural gas and electricity market prices are some examples of key assumptions to be assessed in this discussion.

As discussed above, the relative cost of near-term and longer-term procurement is a key uncertainty that affects risk and cost over the compliance horizon. A key uncertainty in evaluating the net cost of renewable resources is the market value of energy produced by renewable resources. Higher market prices increase the value of energy produced by renewable resources, which reduces the net cost of renewable resource procurement. Conversely, lower market prices decrease the value of energy produced by renewable resources, which increases the net cost of renewable resource procurement.

The impact of market prices, assuming an adjustment of +/- 10% from the energy value of renewable resources and no change to the renewable resource portfolios assumed in the intertemporal analysis presented above, is shown in Tables A-19 and A-20. Generally, near-term procurement improves customer benefits with lower wholesale energy prices and reduces customer benefits with higher wholesale energy prices. Nonetheless, near-term procurement of competitively priced resources and/or RECs can lower RPS compliance costs over the long-term—even when long-term resource costs are assumed to decline (i.e., as in Scenario JIT-3) concurrent with higher wholesale market prices.

Table A-19. PVRR(d) Cost/(Benefit) of Near-Term Procurement Among JIT Scenarios(\$ Million) with 10% Increase in Energy Value

	JIT-1 Scenario	JIT-2 Scenario	JIT-3 Scenario
\$3/MWh Scenario	(\$315)	(\$348)	(\$84)
\$6/MWh Scenario	(\$388)	(\$419)	(\$72)
\$8/MWh Scenario	(\$556)	(\$278)	\$240

Table A-20. PVRR(d) Cost/(Benefit) of Near-Term Procurement Among JIT Scen	arios
(\$ Million) with 10% Decrease in Energy Value	

	JIT-1 Scenario	JIT-2 Scenario	JIT-3 Scenario
\$3/MWh Scenario	(\$347)	(\$398)	(\$126)
\$6/MWh Scenario	(\$416)	(\$464)	(\$152)
\$8/MWh Scenario	(\$815)	(\$544)	(\$29)

Because RPS targets are calculated as a percentage of retail sales, changes to load growth will impact the timing and quantity of renewable resources needed for compliance. Figure A-17 shows PacifiCorp's initial compliance position, assuming no incremental procurement, with a 0.5% increase in the compounded annual retail sale growth rate relative to the base forecast. Figure A-18 shows the impact of a 0.5% reduction in the compounded annual retail sale growth rate.





Figure A-18. PacifiCorp SB 1547 Compliance Position without Procurement and with an 0.5% Increase in the Annual Retail Sale Growth Rate



With higher retail sales growth, PacifiCorp's initial shortfall occurs in 2025, which is unchanged from the base initial shortfall year when the base retail sales forecast is applied. The 2025 shortfall is approximately 611 GWh higher relative to the base forecast, which equates to approximately 200 MW of renewable resource capacity operating at a 35% capacity factor. By 2040, the shortfall with a higher retail sales projection is about 857 GWh higher than in the base forecast. This equates to nearly 280 MW of renewable resource capacity operating at a 35% capacity operating at a 35% capacity factor.

With lower retail sales growth, PacifiCorp's initial shortfall occurs in 2026, which is one year later than in the base forecast. By 2040, the shortfall with a higher retail sales projection is about 764 GWh lower than in the base forecast. This equates to nearly 250 MW of renewable resource capacity operating at a 35% capacity factor.

5. Throughout the analysis, PacifiCorp should provide methodologies and assumptions used to support the RPIP along with a narrative describing the reasoning behind the selection of those methodologies and assumptions.

PacifiCorp has explained its methodology and assumptions throughout its response to Attachment A of Order No. 15-158.

Attachment A

Accounting of RECs Applicable to Oregon RPS

Refiled July 15, 2016

Attachment A - Accounting of RECs Applicable to Oregon RPS for 2007-2015 PacifiCorp Oregon - 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan

				MWh	1 - 2007 - 2	015			
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
<u>Oregon Renewable Portfolio Standard Requirement ⁽¹⁾</u>	-			•	650,729	638,940	654,498	647,937	1,929,369
Compliance Method									
Bundled RECs					650,729	511,152	523,600	518,350	1,717,643
Unbundled RECs						127,788	130,899	129,587	211,726
Available Resources ⁽²⁾									
Bundled RECs by vintage year Unbundled RECs by vintage year	355,038 44,000	572,302 127,342	822,402 -	1,247,291 8,356	1,776,846 122,916	1,588,069 243,819	1,476,704 53,567	1,549,424	1,329,263
Cumulative Banked RECs minus RPS requirement by year of compliance ⁽³⁾ Alternative compliance payments	399,038	1,098,683	1,921,085	3,176,732	4,425,765 -	5,618,713 -	6,494,486 -	7,395,973 -	6,795,867 -

Notes

Based on Retail Load Forecast, October 2015
 2017-2021 Implementation Plan - Attachment B - Oregon's Share Per Allocation Factors - Renewable Portfolio Standard Renewable Energy Credits (MWh)
 Oldest RECs retired first for RPS compliance

ATTACHMENT A Page 1 of 2

Refiled July 15, 2016

Attachment A - Accounting of RECs Applicable to Oregon RPS for 2016-2021 PacifiCorp Oregon - 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan

			MM	/h - 2016-20	21		
	Pre-2016	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
		Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast
<u>Oregon Renewable Portfolio Standard Requirement ⁽¹⁾</u>		1,958,763	1,909,419	1,921,934	1,936,852	2,576,755	2,566,748
Planned Compliance Method ⁽²⁾							
Bundled RECs		1,958,763	1,909,419	1,921,934	1,936,852	2,576,755	2,566,748
Unbundled RECs		·	ı	·	ı		
<u>Available Resources ⁽³⁾</u>							
Starting Bank (Beginning of 2016)	6,795,867						
Total Bundled RECs by vintage year		1,649,892	1,706,103	1,703,224	1,692,768	1,674,589	1,661,496
RECs with 6-year Life by Vintage Year		1,220,366	1,562,260	1,559,245	1,549,508	1,532,705	1,587,747
RECs with Indefinite Banking: Post-SB 1547 Commercial Operation		68,659	143,843	143,979	143,261	141,884	73,749
RECs with Indefinite Banking; Pre-SB 1547 Comemcial Operation		360,867	0	0	0	0	0
Total Unbundled RECs by vintage year		0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative Banked RECs minus RPS requirement by year of compliance		6,486,996	6,283,680	6,064,969	5,820,886	4,918,720	4,013,467
Alternative compliance payments		ı	ı	ı	I	ı	I

Notes

(1) Based on Retail Load Forecast, October 2015 (Consistent with 2015 IRP Update)

(2) RECs with the shortest banking life will be used first, then oldest RECs from the existing (pre-2016) bank will be retired
 (3) 2017-2021 Implementation Plan - Attachment B - Oregon's Share Per Allocation Factors - Renewable Portfolio Standard Renewable Energy Credits (MWh)

Confidential Attachment B

Bundled and Unbundled RECs Expected Annual MWh Output (Total Company and Oregon Share)

(Redacted Version)

CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT B CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

PacifiCorp Oregon - 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan Attachment B - Oregon's Renewable Energy Credit Share Per Allocation Factors (MWh)⁽¹⁾

State COD⁽²⁾ WREGIS ID 2007 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 Actual⁽³⁾ 3,751 3,751 Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁶ Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁽³⁾ Actual⁽³⁾ W1263 / W127. BIOGAS UT 2005 3,689 3,689 Hill Air Force Base Total Biogas 3,797 3,797 3,453 3,453 3,558 3,558 GEOTHERMAL UT 2007 W230 22,876 21,213 21,213 18,870 18,870 2,526 2,526 18,822 18,822 19,786 19,786 21,937 21,937 19,455 19,455 18,113 18,113 Total Geothermal Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA) Chevron Casper Wind Farm (PPA) Combine Hills (PPA) 85,121 11,081 102,419 103,222 13,469 84,600 10,812 107,568 WIND WY 2009 W1383 78,605 95,012 75,688 9,533 91,036 87,447 12,586 1,327 10,987 88,168 W1383 W1370 W189 W1687 W201 W1363
 WY
 2009

 OR
 2003

 WY
 2010

 WY
 1999

 WY
 1999
 12,892 118,643 11,867 108,721 100,599 13,251 10,110 104,663 26,839 14,650 1,683 117,181 114,458 anlap I oote Creek I oote Creek II oote Creek III 97,716 15,558 763 111,195 16,656 15,666 18,091 14,242 76,336 76,287 28,785 55,304 82,727 WY WY WY WY W1141 W964 W965 16,083 74,493 28,019 1999 2008 2009 75,448 26,194 81,242 30,724 69,779 23,435 81,542 30,893 enrock enrock III 232 54,050 WA WY WY 2008 2009 2015 W536 W1334 TBD 65,244 19,981 55,620 67,432 57,269 85,994 48,07 64,57 oodnoe Hills igh Plains trigo 63,226 88,585 48,541 76,909 35,485 20,148 36,164 47,125 eaning Juniper l 62,000 106,527 51,329 27,092 49,250 63,599 OR 2006 WA 2007 W200 W185 79,427 51,406 88,113 112,813 22,114 71,124 58,578 86,716 49,501 93,001 51,953 83,472 54,917 arengo arengo II Fadden Ridg ountain Wind 2007 2008 2009 2008 2008 2008 2016 W185 W772 W1341 W1022 93,001 46,038 24,578 44,474 59,065 83,472 38,962 26,139 42,065 57,418 WA WY WY 43,504 43,359 25,108 48,893 65,199 tain Wind Power (PPA) tain Wind Power II (PPA 21,888 16,401 W1022 W1023 TBD W187 W975 W976 W1749 W188 52,948 WY Pioneer Wind Rock River I (PPA) Seven Mile Hill I Seven Mile Hill II Fop of the World (P WY WY WY WY ID 2016 2001 2008 2008 35,934 100,789 22,080 181,005 35,030 88,728 18,814 172,464 35,676 39,759 89,736 85,481 19,416 18,778 161,824 163,539 38,811 46,802 30,309 76,341 16,491 146,747 38,665 44,240 376 37,056 83,422 17,104 36,213 84,929 17,745 49,477 2010 2005 wolverine Creek (Total Wind 40,868 343,444 47,992 541,097 42,262 788,077 42,485 52,451 46,266 38,811 46,892 36,785 991,436 1,382,657 1,252,250 1,216,013 1,255,346 1,079,903 8,703 317 9,520 9,924 78 8,421 3,973 17,902 605 ID MT OR OR CA 1917 1929 1953 1953 1918 W146 W179 W148 W149 W142 HYDRO 5,955 4,779 382 526 8,375 336 7.715 Ashton Big Fork 5,955 353 8,248 7,783 81 13,221 9,820 16,636 728 526 362 13,146 14,042 102 13,421 11,105 21,416 324 199 288 8,128 8,274 11,487 14,875 137 41,743 12,189 43,142 10,523 11,454 87 10,533 6,157 arwater arwater : pco 1 105 126 100 81 9,328 2,044 16,282 UT 1927 OR 1952 ID 1923 OR 1958 OR 1955 OR 1956 itler sh Creek W151 W153 W137 C Boyle emolo 1 emolo 2 W180 W157 W158 372 3,446 363 586 35,939 44,325 5,534 1,273 44,405 48,315 898 43,184 53,683 605 31,220 37,800 31,80
 OR
 1956

 ID
 1915

 UT
 1897

 OR
 1928

 OR
 1932

 OR
 1951

 ID
 1924

 OR
 1950

 WA
 1953
 W158 W160 W162 W140 W164 W168 W170 W171 W173 W141 746 304 1,167 1,259 7,464 297 1,020 803 rospect 2 rospect 3 lide Creek 194 1,104 1,196 143 938 553 1,148 12,326 9,806 9,283 18,743 69,665 156 1,076 9,728 25,055 5,192 13,105 68,399 938 8,504 13,386 4,089 11,537 49,366 9,169 17,967 3,871 13,796 57,754 9,257 20,716 3,658 13,598 49,510 3,869 oda oda Springs 1,150 9,068 2,004 11,449 1,722 2,314 225,327 254,066 2,054 2,460 305,728 otal Hydro 2,228 2,337 236,045 367,026 208,386 OR 2010 OR 2011 OR 2013 OR 2011 OR 2014 OR 2014 W1686 W2391 W3671 W1970 W4436 OREGON SOLAR INCENTIVE 209 399 397 230 327 11 403 409 393 Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Central Oregon (CO 1 Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Central Oregon (CO 2 Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Central Oregon (CO 3 263 126 192 regon Solar Incentive Program - Columbia River (CR 1) regon Solar Incentive Program - Columbia River (CR 2) 354 298 310 regon Solar Incentive Program - Eastern Oregon (EO 1 regon Solar Incentive Program - Eastern Oregon (EO 2 regon Solar Incentive Program - Portland Oregon (PO 1 OR OR OR 2010 2011 2010 131 340 W1737 W2611 W1738 116 189 81 200
 OR
 2010

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2010

 OR
 2011

 OR
 2011

 OR
 2012

 OR
 2012

 OR
 2013
 Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Portland Oregon (PO 2) Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Southern Oregon (SO 1 Dregon Solar Incentive Program - Southern Oregon (SO 2 OR OR W3672 W1806 W2240 W2392 W2690 W3207 W3516 W3554 W3573 W4084 W4187 W4084 W4187 W4485 W4576 W1739 111 437 508 362 regon Solar Incentive Program - Southern Oregon (SO 3 regon Solar Incentive Program - Southern Oregon (SO 4 regon Solar Incentive Program - Southern Oregon (SO 5 48 450 Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Suthern Oragon (20 5) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 5) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 7) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 7) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 9) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 9) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Southern Oragon (20 11) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Williamete Valies (WV 1) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Williamete Valies (WV 2) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Williamete Valies (WV 2) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Williamete Valies (WV 3) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Unaverse Valies (WV 3) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Unaverse Valies (WV 3) Oragon Salar Insentive Program. Unaverse Valies (WV 3) 40
 OR
 2012

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2014

 OR
 2014

 OR
 2014

 OR
 2010
 412
408
375 OR OR OR OR 2013 2010 2011 2012 2013 253 14 W1739 W2326 W3208 W3396 W3410 W3673 W4085 329
 OR
 2013

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2013

 OR
 2014

 OR
 2015

 OR
 2011
 TBD W2448 TBD W4486 TBD 44 746 740 667 2011 2016-2017 2014 2016 OR 2 OR OR sourdet II derated Tribes of Warm Springs (CTWS) OR OR OR 2010 2014 2014 2012 W4105 W3847 W3468 rook County Sola W3408 W3960 W4274 W2968 W4273 2013 2014 2012 akeview II owell Butte OR OR OR 839 484 Solwatt II Fotal Oregon Solar Incentive OR 2014 110 12,692 294 25 1.429 4,604 8.342 SOLAR Pavant Solar II LLC Total Solar OR 2016 TBD SOLAR CAPACITY STANDARD OR 2012 W3104 Black Cap Total Utility Solar 4,301 4,60 4,60 Total 822.402 1.247.291 88.069 476,704 1,549,424 ,329,263

27.44% 28.19% 27.49% 26.20% 26.41% 25.93% 25.20% 25.51% 25.47% Oregon's Share Based on SG Allocation Factors⁽³⁾

Includes resources under development that are anticipand to receive certification by ODOE for the Oragon RPS as clupible under ORS 4407A.DES. COD manus commercial operation date (year). For Oragon Salt Learnite Peogram Blocks, COD apresents the first year is which capacity was and Oragon's share knoce for forseardd system generation (Sto) allustics factore. 2007 Brough 2015 - Based on Actual Erail Lauka 2016 Brough 2015 - Based on Actual Erail Lauka ided to the block/the block was e

CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT B CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Refiled July 15, 2016			-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
					Commercial Operation							
Compliance Purchases Oregon RPS (MWh)	Transaction Date	Fuel	State	VREGIS ID	Date	Price	2007	2008	2009 2	2010 20	011 2012	2013
	1/25/2013											
		Biogas	<u> </u>									
		Wind	OR									
		Biogas	OR									
		Biogas	UK 									
	010012011	Mind	WA									
	£102/22/1	1 .111										
		Wind	CA									
	01/0010	DIII M	CA									
	2/6/2013	1 .111										
		Wind	WA									
		Mind .	WA									
		Hydroelectric	WA									
		Hydroelectrid	WA									
		Hydroelectric	WA									
		Hydroelectrid	WA									
	2/11/2013											
		Wind	OR									
	2/6/2013											
		Wind	OR									
		Wind	WΥ									
		Wind	OR									
		Wind	WA									
	1/31/2013											
		Biogas	OR									
	2/4/2013											
		Wind	WA									
		Wind	WA									
	2/4/2013											
		Wind	WA									
	6/28/2013	DI LA	17.14									
		Wind	NM									
		Wind	OR									
	2/28/2013											
	2100012	Wind	WA									
	C107/6//	1 .111	11 L A									
		Wind	WA WA									
	8/78/2013	MIIIA	WA									
	0104040	Wind	OP									
	11/5/2013		NIO.									
		Wind	OR									
		Wind	WA									
Total							44,000	127,342	0 8	,356 122	,916 243,819	9 53,567

Confidential Attachment C

Preliminary Key Assumptions Incremental Cost Calculation

(Redacted Version) Subject to Protective Order

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

Background

As part of its compliance with ORS 469A, PacifiCorp is required to file an implementation plan with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), by January 1, 2016 that provides, among other things, a forecast of expected incremental costs of renewable resources in service during the 2017-2021 Oregon Implementation Plan (2017-2021 Plan) reporting period. The expected incremental cost calculation compares the cost of renewable resources to the cost of a proxy plant, a combined cycle combustion turbine (unless otherwise specified by the Commission). The proxy plant used in this analysis for existing renewable facilities is based on a combined cycle combustion turbine (water-cooled "F" class 2x1 with duct firing) at the Lake Side location. The proxy plant used in this analysis for new qualifying renewable facilities is based on a combined cycle combustion turbine (dry "J" class Adv 1x1) at the Dave Johnston Brownfield location, from PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP.

The annual expected incremental cost calculation for renewable resources in service during the 2017-2021 reporting period is the difference between the nominal levelized cost of the renewable resource and the nominal levelized cost of the proxy plants.

Methodology

The nominal levelized costs have been developed using an approach similar to that used to create the supply-side resource tables in Chapter 6 of the 2015 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). For qualifying renewable resources currently in service, forecasted ongoing capital, and operation and maintenance (O&M) from the most recently available 10 year Business Plan are used. Actual ongoing capital and O&M values are used for historical period of 2007-2014. Data for renewable resources acquired through a power purchase agreement (PPA) reflect the associated contract terms.

Consistent with the 2015 IRP, a discount rate of 6.660% has been used in this expected incremental cost analysis. The associated payment factors have also been applied consistent with the 2015 IRP.

Inflation values are based on the Company's official inflation forecast. Where a calculation requires a single value, the 2% average annual inflation rate from 2015-2040 has been used. Otherwise, yearly values from the Company's official inflation forecast have been applied.

Renewable Resources

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

Table 1 provides the qualifying renewable resources that are included in the expected incremental cost calculation in the 2017-2021 Plan.¹

Table 1 – List of Qualifying Resources Included in Incremental Cost						
Resource	Assumed Capacity Factor (%)	In-Service Year	Capacity (MW)	Design Plant Life / Contract Term (Years)		
Black Cap Solar		2012	2.0	16		
Blundell II		2007	10.0	26		
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA)		2009	99	20		
Dunlap I		2010	111.0	25		
Glenrock I		2008	99.0	25		
Glenrock III		2009	39.0	25		
Goodnoe Hills		2008	94.0	25		
High Plains		2009	99.0	25		
Latigo Wind		2015	60.0	20		
Marengo		2007	140.4	25		
Marengo II		2008	70.2	25		
McFadden Ridge		2009	28.5	25		
Mountain Wind Power (PPA)		2008	60.9	25		
Mountain Wind Power II (PPA)		2008	79.8	25		
Pavant Solar II, LLC		2016	50.0	20		
Pioneer Wind		2016	80.0	20		
Seven Mile Hill I		2009	99.0	25		
Seven Mile Hill II		2009	19.5	25		
Top of the World (PPA)		2010	200.2	20		
Oregon Solar Incentive Program 2010- 2015 ²		2010-2015	9.2 ³	15		

¹ The following new resources were added to the incremental cost calculation since the Company's 2015-2019 Plan, consistent with the methodology in OAR 860-083-0100: Latigo Wind, Pioneer Wind, Pavant Solar II, LLC, Black Cap Solar, and the Oregon Solar Incentive Program projects. These new facilities or contracts have a cumulative capacity exceeding 50 megawatts.

Foote Creek II and Foote Creek III are not included in the calculation, as these resources were in service before June 6, 2007.

² To calculate the estimated incremental costs of the Oregon Solar Incentive Program, capacity added to the OSIP program in each year was treated as an individual resource.

³ Due to data limitations, incremental cost estimates for the remaining 1.6 megawatts of OSIP capacity cannot be provided in this Plan, but will be included in subsequent Implementation Plans.

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

Table 2 provides information relating to the PPAs, including nominal prices, which are based on contract terms. The nominal prices do not include the cost of integration, which is added as an adjustment in the levelized cost calculation.

Table 2 – Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs)								
	PPA Annual Nominal Levelized		Average					
Resource	Contract Price	Contract Start Vear	Capacity (MW)	Contract Term				
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes (PPA)		2009	99	20				
Mountain Wind Power (PPA)		2008	60.9	25				
Mountain Wind Power II (PPA)		2008	79.8	25				
Top of the World (PPA)		2010	200.2	20				
Pioneer Wind		2016	80	20				
Latigo Wind Park QF		2015	60	20				
Pavant II Solar QF		2016	50	20				

PacifiCorp receives federal production tax credits (PTC) associated with owned wind projects, but does not from PPAs. Levelized PTC values for eligible resources have been adjusted to correspond to the in-service year of each resource.

Capacity factors for existing renewable resources are based on the most current data available. Capacity factors for owned facilities and PPAs are calculated based on average generation over the life of facility or contract term and nameplate capacity. Generation values for 2007-2014 are actuals; generation values for 2015 include a combination of actual generation from January through September 2015 and forecasted values for October through December 2015. Generation values for years 2016 and beyond are forecasted.

The Company used wind integration costs from the Company's previously filed Oregon Transition Adjustment Mechanism (TAM) filings for calendar year (CY) 2007-2014 Wind integration values for 2015 and beyond are based on the 2015 IRP (2015 IRP Appendix H – Wind Integration). Solar integration costs are also derived from values in the 2015 IRP.

Capacity Contribution values for qualifying facilities are derived from the values from the 2015 IRP.⁴

⁴ See the Company's 2015 IRP – Volume II, Appendix N, Table N.1, p. 405.

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

Payment factors for qualifying facilities are updated using the discount rate from the 2015 IRP.

Actual Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) costs for long-term and short-term point-to-point (PTP) transmission and scheduling charges have been included in the incremental cost calculation for Goodnoe Hills. Starting April 2013, Goodnoe Hills became part of PacifiCorp's control area, which resulted in the termination of BPA integration charges and the inclusion of PacifiCorp's integration cost going forward. The BPA wheeling costs going forward include only long-term PTP rates, and reflect the most recently effective BPA rates.

In accordance with OAR 860-083-0100(1)(i), renewable resources that were in service before June 6, 2007, and low impact hydroelectric facilities have been excluded from the cost analysis. Additionally, the Rolling Hills facility is currently not included in Oregon rates and has been excluded from this cost analysis.⁵

Proxy Plant

The proxy plant used in this analysis for the existing qualifying facilities continues to be a combined cycle combustion turbine (CCCT water-cooled "F" class 2x1 with duct firing) at the Lake Side location from the 2008 IRP.

Four new long-term qualifying renewable resources are contemplated in the 2017-2021 incremental cost analysis. Since the cumulative capacity of the new qualifying resources exceeds 50 megawatts, a new proxy plant has also been added in this analysis for Latigo Wind, Pioneer Wind, Pavant Solar II, LLC, and Black Cap Solar. The proxy plant's characteristics remain unchanged from those stated in the 2015-2019 Plan analysis. The proxy plant used in this analysis for new qualifying renewable facilities is based on a combined cycle combustion turbine (dry "J" class Adv 1x1) at the Dave Johnston Brownfield location, from PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP. Consistent with the 2015 IRP, fuel price data is from the Company's December 2015 official forward price curve (OFPC) with natural gas delivered at the Lake Side and Dave Johnston Brownfield locations.

The following scenarios⁶ are considered in the incremental cost analysis:

- Scenario 1: December 2015 OFPC (Base case OFPC used in 2015 IRP Update)
- Scenario 2: December 2015 OFPC High Gas
- Scenario 3: December 2015 OFPC Low Gas

⁵In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power 2009 Renewable Adjustment Clause Schedule 202, Docket UE 200, Order 548 at 19-20 (Nov. 14, 2008).

⁶ Scenarios 1-6 are from the 2015 IRP.

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

• Scenario 4: March 2016 OFPC

Consistent with the discussion in Commission Order No. 09-299,⁷ capital costs and O&M costs for the existing proxy plants based on 2008 IRP remain unchanged from the Company's 2015-2019 Plan.⁸ Capital and O&M costs for the 2015 proxy plant are based on 2015 IRP.⁹

The proxy plant CCCTs are sized to have the equal amount of annual energy output as the qualifying renewable resource. The proxy CCCT nameplate capacity is calculated as follows: *Proxy nameplate capacity* = (*RPS Resource nameplate capacity*) X (*RPS Resource capacity factor*/*Proxy CCCT capacity factor*) where the capacity factor of the proxy CCCT equals the capacity factor of a representative CCCT from the IRP.

Consistent with Order No. 12-272 in UM 1570 requiring inclusion of firming costs associated with qualifying renewable resources, the fixed cost of a simple cycle combustion turbine (SCCT) is added to the qualifying resource in order to create a capacity equivalent proxy resource for comparison to qualifying renewable resources supplying intermittent generation. The SCCT is sized to equal the difference between the respective capacity contribution of the proxy CCCT and the qualifying renewable resource. Incremental cost calculations do not include shaping costs, consistent with Order No. 12-272.

Transaction costs associated with fuel purchases are added to the proxy resource costs to comply with Order No. 12-272. Specifically, actual broker fees associated with forward gas purchases compared to total gas consumption by the Company's gas units for CY 2010-2014 are used to calculate an average annual historical gas transaction cost of \$0.00002/MMBTU. Values for 2015 and beyond are estimated by applying annual inflation rates to the average annual historical gas transaction cost.

Levelized Calculation

The levelized calculation for each qualifying resource is based on the year that it is placed into service. Costs per MWh are escalated over the economic life of the resource. The annual cost per MWh is multiplied by the expected annual generation to develop the dollar cost in each year. Once the annual costs are calculated, the net present value of the costs (over the resource life) is calculated using a nominal discount rate, which is in turn used to calculate an annual nominal levelized value.

⁷ See Order No. 09-299 (August 3, 2009), AR 518 Phase III, page 4.

⁸ The Company's 2015-2019 Plan was filed with the Commission on December 27, 20131 in docket UM 1570.

⁹ See PacifiCorp's 2015 IRP – Volume I, Chapter 6, Tables 6.1 and 6.2.

Key Assumptions – Expected Incremental Cost Calculation

The proxy plant costs are similarly calculated with nominal levelized values aligned to the service years of each qualifying resource.

Some simplifying assumptions have been made. For example, generation has been included for the full year of the qualifying resource's in-service year and economic lives of resources have been rounded to a full year.

Expected Incremental Cost

The annual calculated nominal levelized cost of the proxy plant is subtracted from the annual calculated nominal levelized cost of each qualifying renewable resource. This difference is the annual incremental nominal levelized cost. The incremental nominal levelized cost is presented for each year of the 2017-2021 reporting period, and has been calculated for each of the fuel price scenarios identified in the proxy plant discussion above.

Allocation Factors

Table 3 provides the forecast Oregon system generation (SG) allocation factors using the October2015 load forecast.

Table 3 – Allocation Factors						
Year	SG Allocation Factor					
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
2021						

Confidential Attachment D

Incremental Cost Analysis

Subject to Protective Order

THIS ATTACHMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PROVIDED UNDER SEPATATE COVER

Attachment E

Scenarios 1-7

Summary of Incremental Cost by Resource

PacifiCorp - Oregon 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan Attachment E - Summary of RPS Incremental Costs by Resource

Scenario 1: Dec 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost
Resource	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
Blundell II	(\$773)	(\$775)	(\$772)	(\$764)	(\$762)
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes	\$1,497	\$1,500	\$1,495	\$1,480	\$1,476
Dunlap I	\$346	\$346	\$345	\$342	\$341
Glenrock	\$540	\$541	\$539	\$534	\$532
Glenrock III	\$311	\$311	\$310	\$307	\$306
Goodnoe Hills	\$1,439	\$1,442	\$1,437	\$1,422	\$1,418
High Plains	\$1,148	\$1,150	\$1,146	\$1,135	\$1,131
McFadden Ridge	\$60	\$60	\$60	\$59	\$59
Marengo	\$417	\$418	\$416	\$412	\$411
Marengo II	\$393	\$394	\$393	\$389	\$388
Mountain Wind Power	\$249	\$249	\$248	\$246	\$245
Mountain Wind Power II	\$789	\$791	\$788	\$780	\$778
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$259)	(\$260)	(\$259)	(\$256)	(\$255)
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$60)	(\$60)	(\$60)	(\$59)	(\$59)
Top of the World	\$3,066	\$3,073	\$3,061	\$3,030	\$3,022
Pioneer Wind Park I QF	(\$473)	(\$474)	(\$472)	(\$467)	(\$466)
Latigo Wind Park QF	\$655	\$657	\$654	\$648	\$646
Pavant II Solar QF	(\$302)	(\$303)	(\$301)	(\$299)	(\$298)
Black Cap Solar	\$104	\$104	\$104	\$104	\$104
OSIP 2010	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131
OSIP_2011	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265
OSIP_2012	\$811	\$811	\$811	\$811	\$811
OSIP_2013	\$958	\$958	\$958	\$958	\$958
OSIP_2014	\$614	\$614	\$614	\$614	\$614
OSIP_2015	\$231	\$231	\$231	\$231	\$231

Scenario 2: Dec 2015 OFPC Scenario High Gas Fuel Curve

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost	Levelized Incremental Cost
Resource	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
Blundell II	(\$948)	(\$950)	(\$946)	(\$937)	(\$934)
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes	\$888	\$890	\$887	\$878	\$875
Dunlap I	(\$551)	(\$552)	(\$550)	(\$544)	(\$543)
Glenrock	(\$196)	(\$197)	(\$196)	(\$194)	(\$193)
Glenrock III	` \$28 ´				
Goodnoe Hills	\$897	\$899	\$896	\$887	\$884
High Plains	\$445	\$446	\$444	\$440	\$438
McFadden Ridge	(\$136)	(\$136)	(\$136)	(\$134)	(\$134)
Marengo	(\$275)	(\$276)	(\$274)	(\$272)	(\$271)
Marengo II	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4
Mountain Wind Power	(\$68)	(\$68)	(\$67)	(\$67)	(\$67)
Mountain Wind Power II	\$387	\$387	\$386	\$382	\$381
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$1,053)	(\$1,055)	(\$1,051)	(\$1,041)	(\$1,038)
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$216)	(\$217)	(\$216)	(\$214)	(\$213)

PacifiCorp - Oregon 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan Attachment E - Summary of RPS Incremental Costs by Resource

	- Ourinnar y C			sis by nesu	
Top of the World	\$1,761	\$1,764	\$1,757	\$1,740	\$1,735
Pioneer Wind Park I QF	(\$1,533)	(\$1,536)	(\$1,530)	(\$1,515)	(\$1,511)
Latigo Wind Park QF	\$110	\$110	\$110	\$109	\$109
Pavant II Solar QF	(\$768)	(\$770)	(\$767)	(\$759)	(\$757)
Black Cap Solar	\$68	\$68	\$68	\$68	\$68
OSIP_2010	\$130	\$130	\$130	\$130	\$130
OSIP_2011	\$1,247	\$1,247	\$1,247	\$1,247	\$1,247
OSIP_2012	\$789	\$789	\$789	\$789	\$789
OSIP_2013	\$921	\$921	\$921	\$921	\$921
OSIP_2014	\$583	\$583	\$583	\$583	\$583
OSIP_2015	\$220	\$220	\$220	\$220	\$220

Scenario 3: Dec 2015 OFPC Scenario Low Gas Fuel Curve

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Resource	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)
Blundell II	(\$736)	(\$738)	(\$735)	(\$727)	(\$725)
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes	\$1,601	\$1,604	\$1,598	\$1,583	\$1,578
Dunlap I	\$553	\$554	\$552	\$546	\$545
Glenrock	\$705	\$706	\$704	\$697	\$695
Glenrock III	\$374	\$375	\$374	\$370	\$369
Goodnoe Hills	\$1,556	\$1,559	\$1,553	\$1,538	\$1,533
High Plains	\$1,306	\$1,309	\$1,303	\$1,291	\$1,287
McFadden Ridge	\$104	\$104	\$103	\$102	\$102
Marengo	\$557	\$558	\$556	\$551	\$549
Marengo II	\$477	\$478	\$476	\$472	\$470
Mountain Wind Power	\$317	\$318	\$316	\$313	\$312
Mountain Wind Power II	\$876	\$878	\$874	\$866	\$863
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$81)	(\$81)	(\$81)	(\$80)	(\$80)
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$25)	(\$25)	(\$25)	(\$24)	(\$24)
Top of the World	\$3,302	\$3,309	\$3,296	\$3,263	\$3,254
Pioneer Wind Park I QF	(\$221)	(\$222)	(\$221)	(\$219)	(\$218)
Latigo Wind Park QF	\$782	\$783	\$780	\$773	\$770
Pavant II Solar QF	(\$193)	(\$194)	(\$193)	(\$191)	(\$191)
Black Cap Solar	\$110	\$110	\$110	\$110	\$110
OSIP_2010	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131
OSIP_2011	\$1,267	\$1,267	\$1,267	\$1,267	\$1,267
OSIP_2012	\$814	\$814	\$814	\$814	\$814
OSIP_2013	\$963	\$963	\$963	\$963	\$963
OSIP_2014	\$619	\$619	\$619	\$619	\$619
OSIP_2015	\$233	\$233	\$233	\$233	\$233

PacifiCorp - Oregon 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan Attachment E - Summary of RPS Incremental Costs by Resource

Scenario 4: Mar 2016, OFPC Fuel Curve

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Resource	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)	Levelized Incremental Cost (\$000)
Blundell II	(\$766)	(\$767)	(\$765)	(\$757)	(\$755)
Campbell Hill-Three Buttes	\$1,519	\$1,523	\$1,517 [́]	\$1,50Ź	\$1,498
Dunlap I	\$387	\$388	\$387	\$383	\$382
Glenrock	\$573	\$574	\$572	\$566	\$565
Glenrock III	\$323	\$324	\$323	\$320	\$319
Goodnoe Hills	\$1,463	\$1,466	\$1,460	\$1,446	\$1,442
High Plains	\$1,180	\$1,182	\$1,177	\$1,166	\$1,162
McFadden Ridge	\$68	\$69	\$68	\$68	\$67
Marengo	\$445	\$446	\$444	\$440	\$439
Marengo II	\$410	\$411	\$410	\$406	\$405
Mountain Wind Power	\$263	\$263	\$262	\$260	\$259
Mountain Wind Power II	\$807	\$808	\$805	\$797	\$795
Seven Mile Hill I	(\$224)	(\$224)	(\$223)	(\$221)	(\$220)
Seven Mile Hill II	(\$53)	(\$53)	(\$53)	(\$52)	(\$52)
Top of the World	\$3,115	\$3,121	\$3,109	\$3,079	\$3,070
Pioneer Wind Park I QF	(\$428)	(\$429)	(\$427)	(\$423)	(\$422)
Latigo Wind Park QF	\$678	\$679	\$676	\$670	\$668
Pavant II Solar QF	(\$282)	(\$283)	(\$282)	(\$279)	(\$278)
Black Cap Solar	\$106	\$106	\$106	\$106	\$106
OSIP_2010	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131	\$131
OSIP_2011	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265	\$1,265
OSIP_2012	\$812	\$812	\$812	\$812	\$812
OSIP_2013	\$959	\$959	\$959	\$959	\$959
OSIP_2014	\$615	\$615	\$615	\$615	\$615
OSIP_2015	\$232	\$232	\$232	\$232	\$232

Attachment F

Scenarios 1 - 7

Summary of RPS Incremental Cost of Compliance

Refiled July 15, 2016

PacifiCorp Oregon - 2017-2021 RPS Implementation Plan Attachment F - Summary of RPS Total Incremental Cost of Compliance

Scenario 1: 2015 IRP Update Base Case - (December 2015 OFPC) Fuel Curve

	Incremental Costs			Annual Revenue Requirement	Percent of Annual Revenue Requirement	4% Annual Revenue Requirement
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			(\$000g)
	(\$000s)	(\$000s)	(\$000s)			(\$0005)
2017	\$15,572	\$0	\$15,572	\$1,236,413	1.26%	\$49,457
2018	\$15,735	\$0	\$15,735	\$1,245,552	1.26%	\$49,822
2019	\$15,916	\$0	\$15,916	\$1,247,703	1.28%	\$49,908
2020	\$21,085	\$0	\$21,085	\$1,244,920	1.69%	\$49,797
2021	\$20,974	\$0	\$20,974	\$1,240,037	1.69%	\$49,601

Scenario 2: December 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve - High Gas

	Incremental Costs			Annual Revenue Requirement	Percent of Annual Revenue Requirement	4% Annual Revenue Requirement
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			(\$000g)
	(\$000s)	(\$000s)	(\$000s)			(\$0005)
2017	\$3,727	\$0	\$3,727	\$1,236,413	0.30%	\$49,457
2018	\$3,773	\$0	\$3,773	\$1,245,552	0.30%	\$49,822
2019	\$3,836	\$0	\$3,836	\$1,247,703	0.31%	\$49,908
2020	\$5,192	\$0	\$5,192	\$1,244,920	0.42%	\$49,797
2021	\$5,281	\$0	\$5,281	\$1,240,037	0.43%	\$49,601

Scenario 3: December 2015 OFPC Fuel Curve - Low Gas

	Incremental Costs			Annual Revenue Requirement	Percent of Annual Revenue Requirement	4% Annual Revenue Requirement
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			(\$000s)
	(\$000s)	(\$000s)	(\$000s)			(\$0003)
2017	\$18,109	\$0	\$18,109	\$1,236,413	1.46%	\$49,457
2018	\$18,297	\$0	\$18,297	\$1,245,552	1.47%	\$49,822
2019	\$18,504	\$0	\$18,504	\$1,247,703	1.48%	\$49,908
2020	\$24,767	\$0	\$24,767	\$1,244,920	1.99%	\$49,797
2021	\$24,815	\$0	\$24,815	\$1,240,037	2.00%	\$49,601

Scenario 4: March 2016 OFPC

		Incremental Costs			Percent of Annual Revenue Requirement	4% Annual Revenue Requirement
	Bundled (\$000s)	Unbundled (\$000s)	Total (\$000s)			(\$000s)
2017	\$16,073	\$0	\$16,073	\$1,236,413	1.30%	\$49,457
2018	\$16,240	\$0	\$16,240	\$1,245,552	1.30%	\$49,822
2019	\$16,427	\$0	\$16,427	\$1,247,703	1.32%	\$49,908
2020	\$21,996	\$0	\$21,996	\$1,244,920	1.77%	\$49,797
2021	\$22,049	\$0	\$22,049	\$1,240,037	1.78%	\$49,601

	Incremental Costs			Annual Revenue Requirement	Percent of Annual Revenue Requirement	4% Annual Revenue Requirement
	Bundled	Unbundled	Total			(\$000g)
	(\$000s)	(\$000s)	(\$000s)			(\$0008)
2017	\$12,457	\$280	\$12,738	\$1,236,413	1.03%	\$49,457
2018	\$12,588	\$282	\$12,870	\$1,245,552	1.03%	\$49,822
2019	\$12,733	\$284	\$13,018	\$1,247,703	1.04%	\$49,908
2020	\$16,868	\$378	\$17,247	\$1,244,920	1.39%	\$49,797
2021	\$16,779	\$377	\$17,156	\$1,240,037	1.38%	\$49,601

Sensitivity: Scenario 1 - 2015 IRP Update Base Case - (December 2015 OFPC) Fuel Curve - Maximize 20% Unbundled RECs